Security Classification	Mar 7, 66
DOCUMENT CONTI	
HEADQUARTERS, U.S. ARMY TRAINING AND COMMAND, MORALE SUPPORT DIVISION, LIB BRANCH, FORT MONROE, VA 23651	DOCTRINE UNCLASSIFIED SRARY DOCTRINE LEADING CONTROL OF CHARMEN CONTROL OF CONTROL OF CHARMEN CONTROL OF
1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE - A REPOR	RT OF THE PROCEEDINGS.
FINAL	
LOUISE NYCE THOMAS A. GALLANT	•
ÖCTÖBÉR 1978	74. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 75. NO. OF MEFS
MA. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.	90. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)
b. PROJECT NO.	ALI-78
¢.	9b. OTHER REPORT HO(3) (Any other numbers that may be easigned this report)
d.	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT &
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLI	MITED Approved for public releases. Distribution Unlimited
SEE PROCEEDINGS FOR PREVIOUS YEAR.	HEADQUARTERS, DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, MORALE SUPPORT DIRECTORATE (DAAG-MSL)

THIS VOLUME CONTAINS SYNOPSES OF ALL 1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE SESSIONS AND FULL TRANSCRIPTS OF WORKING GROUP REPORTS, KEYNOTE ADDRESS, AND SELECTED PAPERS. IT COVERS A BROAD RANGE OF TOPICS IMPORTANT TO PROFESSIONAL LIBRARIANS, WITH EMPHASIS ON COMPUTER-BASED CIRCULATION CONTROL SYSTEMS, MANAGEMENT, GROUP DYNAMICS, AND THE ARMY LIBRARIAN CAREER PROGRAM.

DD . FORM .. 1473

12

UNCLASSIFIED

WASHINGTON, DC 20314

			-
noiteail	issel	urity C	205

					-	
		ł				
			1			
					-	
	= -					
					=10.	
		<u>.</u>			_ 11 _	Apdeduction Chinal Hall Co. 10 10010
						SHARED BIBLIOGRAPHIC INPUT EXPERIMENT(SBIE) STUDY OF US ARMY LIBRARIES (ADAØ36Ø4)
						SBIE
						PUBLIC LIBRARIES
1			}			*PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT
						*MANAGEMENT MICROGRAPHICS
						LIBRARY STAFFING ·
					1 -	*LIBRARIES
						*GROUP DYNAMICS
						COPYRIGHT LAW .
			•			*COMPUTERS
		W		SV	SYSTE	
						*CAREERS
						ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE
			П,			ARMY LIBRARIAN CAREER PROGRAM
						, wdv
14	NON	1 M	BOCE	TW	3104	KEY WONOS
2	רואא	8 ×	רוא	٧.	4 N I T	FORDE ASK



FORT **MAY 1978** 1978 ARMY

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release; Distribution Unlimited

A REPORT of the **PROCEEDINGS**

25 033

THE

1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE 22-26 MAY 1978,

WAS DEVELOPED AND ORGANIZED BY HEADQUARTERS,

U. S. ARMY TRAINING AND DOCTRINE COMMAND FORT MONROE, VIRGINIA

U. S. ARMY AIR DEFENSE CENTER
FORT BLISS, TEXAS

This report of the proceedings was synthesized from tapes made during the Institute and from reports submitted by Session Reporters who are identified in the Institute's program. The report was compiled and edited by Louise Nyce, Director, FORSCOM Library Program and Co-Coordinator of the Institute, and Thomas A. Gallant, TRADOC Librarian Career Program Intern.

Contents:

INTRODUCTION 3 Background
THE PROGRAM5
SESSION REPORTS: 19 Opening Session 19 Institute-Wide Reception and Dinner Program 21 Session 2 23 Session 3 24 Session 4 26 Session 5 27 Session 6 29 Session 7 30 Session 8 32 Session 9 33
RECOMMENDATIONS39
WORKING GROUP REPORTS: Working Group 1
APPENDICES: A. Keynote Address - Dr. Thomas J. Galvin B. Computer-Based Circulation Control Systems: A State- of-the-Art Report. C. Innovation and Its Effect upon Library Staffing. D. Registrants - 1978 Army Library Institute

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

Over the past thirty years there have been great investments of material and manpower resources in meeting the library/information requirements of the Army community. The bewildering range of information resources and services required to effectively accomplish the Army's mission and the personal requirements of its individual members grow each year. At the same time it becomes increasingly difficult, in an environment of austere funding and spiraling inflation, to fiscally support this requirement.

Increased understanding of new techniques and technological advances, and more skilled and sensitive library management are imperative if Army libraries are to continue the essential supportive functions which they provide at all levels in the Army structure.

The 1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE was one of several initiatives to insure that personnel who provide library/information services to the Army community are prepared to meet the challenges which face them.

PURPOSE/CONTENT

The 1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE was an intensive five-day program to synthesize certain key managerial and professional skills and knowledges to help participants improve their own performance. Evening sessions were scheduled to permit this 48-hour Institute to be completed in a single week.

The Institute was limited in content, allowing for more indepth coverage of specific subject areas. Emphasis was placed on systematic and practical approaches to managing which are applicable across type-library lines (i.e. Morale Support, Technical, School/Academic, Medical, Legal, and Special libraries). The Institute was structured to provide library managers the maximum opportunity to take advantage of their own experiences and that of their fellow participants. Participants had ample opportunity to exchange ideas about specific problem areas in their library environment. Most of the Institute time was spent in activities which could be directly related to the librarian's back home situation. Institute materials were presented through discussions, seminars, and workshops by resource personnel who are recognized for their knowledge in specific areas.

OBJECTIVES

While individual objectives varied from person to person, the following were the overall objectives for this Institute:

- To gain appreciation and awareness of current thinking in the functions of management, librarianship and information science.
- To encourage greater self-appraisal and self-development efforts.
- To explore some specific responsibilities of the library manager.
- To explore some new concepts about managerial/professional tasks which update or supplement former knowledge.
- To exchange ideas with librarians from various sectors of the Army, Department of Defense, and civilian communities.

FUNDING

Funding support was provided by the Office, Deputy Commanding General, The Adjutant General Center, Morale Support Directorate (\$7,500).

REGISTRATION

One hundred and twenty two (122) registrations were received for the Institute, representing more than one-fourth of all librarian careerists registered world-wide in the Army Librarian Career Program. Registrants included participants from Alaska, Hawaii, and Panama, as well as an observer from the Eighth U.S. Army (Korea). Thirty-eight (38) additional registrants included librarians from various sectors of the Department of Defense community and civilian librarians from Texas and New Mexico. A complete list of registrants is provided as Appendix D.

PROGRAM

1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE

22-26 MAY 1978 FORT BLISS, TEXAS

(Unless otherwise indicated, all sessions will be held at THE HILTON INN, the Institute headquarters motel.)

SUNDAY, 21 May 1978:

1500 - 1800: REGISTRATION. Sky Room.

1800 - 2000: iBIEN VENIDO AMIGOS! No-host pre-institute get-together. Skyriders Pool. Come and meet old

friends and make new ones around the "ole swimmin' hole."

INTERFACE, 22 - 24 MAY 1978

This 3-day "Institute-within-an-Institute" offers participating librarians a chance to meet and interface with librarians from other sectors of the profession, a major goal of NEW DIRECTIONS 2. Professional growth and current awareness presupposes just this sort of contact. Recently we have been inundated by speeches and literature on cooperation and resource-sharing. Yet, the promise of these goals is not being realized, at least not as rapidly as they should. One fundamental reason for this is resistance to them at the grass-roots level. Giving the people most directly affected by these goals a chance to discuss them openly and honestly is a major step toward overcoming that resistance. The 1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE, via INTERFACE, will enhance precisely that intimate level of communication and involvement among diverse sectors of the profession that is sorely needed if such worthwhile goals are to be reached and parochialism overcome. The organizers of NEW DIRECTIONS 2 have planned INTERFACE as an integral part of the week's training efforts, one we feel certain will greatly benefit Army librarians. They will have the much needed opportunity to interface with selected representatives from other DOD and federal agency libraries, the library associations of Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Arizona and other key personnel from various sectors of the profession. We are certain these representatives will also find INTERFACE a valuable learning experience.

Please maximize this opportunity to its best advantage. It may well be a greater training achievement than courses or seminars in specific subject areas.

MONDAY, 22 May 1978:

0800 - 0900: REGISTRATION. Sky Room.

0900 - 0930: OPENING SESSION: Crystal Room.

US Army Air Defense Center & Ft Bliss

Fort Bliss, Texas

Convening of Institute......Jane Bentley Cooney

1st Day Presiding Officer

HQ US Army Missile Research & Development

Command

Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

Welcome Remarks......MG J. J. Koehler, Jr.

Commanding General

US Army Air Defense Center & Ft Bliss

Fort Bliss, Texas

General Coordinator

1978 Army Library Institute

HQ US Army Training & Doctrine Command

Fort Monroe, Virginia

Introduction of Session 1......Jane Bentley Cooney

0930 - 1030: SESSION 1: Crystal Room.

COMPUTER-BASED CIRCULATION CONTROL SYSTEMS: A Stateof-the-Art Report......Ralph M. Shoffner

"For the past 10 years, more and more libraries have been turning to automation to streamline all phases of their operations. The decade also has been one of accelerating improvements in available technology. Nowhere has this been more evident than in that most public of all library services: circulation. Here, automation can dramatically reduce both the time, effort, and money required to run an efficient system."

Automated library circulation systems, 1977-78, by Paula Dranov. Knowledge Industry Publications, Inc., c 1977.

Vice President Ringgold Corporation Ringgold Management Systems Beaverton, Oregon

1030 - 1045: Coffee Break. Skyriders Pool.

COMPUTER-BASED CIRCULATION CONTROL SYSTEMS: A Reaction 1045 - 1245:

Panel:

Panelist:

Richard W. Boss University Librarian Princeton University Library Princeton, New Jersey

Sheldon P. Roufa President DataPhase Systems, Inc. Kansas City, Missouri

Normand L. Varieur Chief Scientific and Technical Information Division US Army Armament Research and Development Command Dover, New Jersey

Charles Goldstein Chief, Computer Technology Br Lister Hill National Center for Biomedical Communication National Library of Medicine Bethesda, Maryland

John P. Weiss Vice President Gaylord Library Systems Gaylord Brothers, Inc. Syracuse, New York

David Earl Holt Director Austin Public Library Austin, Texas

Joan Blair Vice President Director of Library Relations C.L. Systems Incorporated Newtonville, Massachusetts

1245 - 1430: Lunch

EXHIBITS. Sky and Cloud Rooms.

SESSION 2. MICROGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT IN LIBRARIES: A Review. Cloud Room. Conducted by: Carl M. Spaulding Program Officer Council on Library Resources Washington, D.C.

Green Group

SESSION 3. COPYRIGHT AND THE LIBRARIAN: The New Law. Crystal Room. Conducted by: Waldo H. Moore Assistant Register of Copyrights for Registration Copyright Office Library of Congress Washington, D.C.

Blue Group

1545 - 1600: * * * * * *

Red Group

* * Coffee Break * * * *

Green Group

1430 - 1545:

1600 - 1715:

Blue Group

Red Group

1845 - 1945: Institute-wide Reception. Crystal Room.

1945 - 2245: Institute-wide Dinner/Program. Crystal Room.

KEYNOTE SPEAKER......Thomas J. Galvin

"There is a growing negativism among library administrators reflected in a persistent emphasis on 'survival, on 'survivalism' -- both personal and institutional--often accompanied by an apparent desire to avoid and resist change at all costs--a kind of desperate determination to preserve the institutional status quo... Library directors should be cautioned against the trap of attempting to deal with the present environment as though it were the environment of the past, or expending energy in the hopeless task of trying to alter the present to make it more closely resemble the past..." Galvin

Dean, Graduate School of Library and Information Science University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

TUESDAY, 23 May 1978:

EXHIBITS. Sky and Cloud Rooms. SESSION 2. Cloud Room.

SESSION 3. Crystal Room.

0830 - 0945:

Green Group

Blue Group

Red Group

1000 - 1005: Crystal Room.

Introduction of Presiding Officer......Jane Bentley Cooney

2nd Day Presiding Officer The Army Library

Administrative Announcements......Ruth A. Mullane

The Pentagon Washington, DC

1005 - 1115: SESSION 4. Crystal Room.

PLANNING PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING COMMUNITY WIDE-LIBRARY

SERVICES.....Vernon E. Palmour

Senior Vice President King Research, Inc. Rockville, Maryland

The ALA, Public Library Association, thru a grant from the Research and Demonstration Branch of the Office of Libraries and Learning Resources in the U.S. Office of Education, has commissioned a 21-month research project to develop and field test a process by which public library managers can plan and evaluate library service. The end product will be a series of manuals describing the process in detail. This study impacts heavily on Army libraries, which use ALA standards of service as well as the standards of SLA, MLA and other professional organizations. The possibility of selected Army libraries participating in the field testing phase will be discussed. Mr. Palmour is the principal investigator.

1115 - 1215: SESSION 5. Crystal Room. This session is for Army librarians. Other DOD librarians are invited. Librarians from the civilian sector and other guests are encouraged to visit the exhibits or use the time to INTERFACE.

> THE STUDY OF ARMY LIBRARIES: Where Do We Stand Today......MAJ Paul Tracy Girard

The Office of the Adjutant General Plans and Operations Directorate Washington, DC

Vernon E. Palmour Principal Consultant Study of Army Libraries

1215 - 1415: Lunch

1415 - 1530: SESSION 6. Crystal Room.

INNOVATION AND ITS EFFECT UPON LIBRARY STAFFING

Ralph M. Shoffner

Dr. Shoffner's discussion concerns the effects of innovation on library staffing, with particular reference to the use of paraprofessionals. As the profession evolves, librarians must anticipate pressures and identify how changes can be made that reduce training requirements while still retaining the quality of performance. The following quote by Alfred North Whitehead states this thesis in general terms and underpins Dr. Shoffner's presentation: "It is a profoundly erroneous truism, repeated by all copy-books and by eminent people when they are making speeches, that we should cultivate the habit of thinking of what we are doing. The precise opposite is the case. Civilization advances by extending the number of important operations which we can perform without thinking about them. Operations of thought are like cavalry charges in battle -- they are strictly limited in number, they require fresh horses, and must only be made at decisive moments."

1545 - 1730: SESSION 7. Crystal Room.

SHARED BIBLIOGRAPHIC INPUT EXPERIMENT: A DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER VENTURE......Paul M. Klinefelter

Funding and manpower restrictions prevalent among military libraries and information centers have given impetus to the development of methods and systems for the standardization and sharing of professional cataloging and indexing. One-time creation of authoritative descriptive entries is a workable concept with inherent savings. Taking advantage of its existing network of on-line CRT terminals, DDC has set up an ambitious and successful experiment which involves the on-line input to central ADP files, resident at DDC, of descriptive and subject indexing data for technical reports. This data is being generated at six remote terminal sites. Progressive phases of this experiment will be concerned with various categories of input and work situations

Deputy Director Directorate of Technical Services Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia

Reactor.....Patricia M. Altner Reference Librarian Scientific & Technical Information Division HQ ARRADCOM Dover, New Jersey

1800 - 2100: UNA NOCHE DE GUSTO EN MEXICO. An opportunity for participants to enjoy a pleasant evening in Mexico. Three Gray Line tours will depart the Hilton Inn at 1800 hours. Tour A is a combination shopping/Mexican dinner tour to Juarez, Mexico. Tour B is a combination shopping/Cantonese dinner tour to Juarez. Tour C is a combination shopping/dinner tour, with dinner at the Cattleman's Restaurant, one of El Paso's finest restaurants.

WEDNESDAY, 24 May 1978: 0830 - 0835: Crystal Room. Introduction of Presiding Officer......Ruth A. Mullane .James H. Byrn Administrative Announcements...... 3rd Day Presiding Officer US Army Field Artillery Center & Fort Sill Fort Sill, Oklahoma 0835 - 1000: SESSION 8. A PROBLEM IS AN OPPORTUNITY WAITING TO HAPPEN; or WE ARE THE PROBLEM--WE ARE ALSO THE SOLUTION Yvonne K. Rappaport Director of Continuing Education for Dr. Rappaport's workshop will help the individual to Adults be aware of his own behavior, to be aware of how his University of Virginia behavior affects others, to be aware that he has un-Falls Church, Virginia realistic fears, and to become keenly aware that he is making his own choices. The idea is that by developing this kind of vital, productive awareness one can develop the courage to face unrealistic fears and more successfully meet the changes that are so rapidly taking place in our profession. 1000 - 1015: 1015 - 1200: SESSION 8. Crystal Room 1200 - 1400: Lunch 1400 - 1530 SESSION 8. SESSION 8. Cloud Room 2. SESSION 8. Crystal Room. Cloud Room 1. Red Group Green Group Blue Group 1530 - 1545: * * Coffee Break * * 1545 - 1700: SESSION 8. Crystal Room. 1700 - 2000: Dinner 2000 -1st Meeting, Working Groups: WORKING GROUP 1: Crystal Room Fort Sam Houston, Texas WORKING GROUP 2: Training Program for Library Technicians......Madge J. Busey, Chairperson Cloud Room 1 Fort Belvoir, Virginia Cooperative Library Programs: WORKING GROUP 3: Shared Cataloging......JoAn I. Stolley, Chairperson Cloud Room 2 Fort Monroe, Virginia WORKING GROUP 4: Cooperative Library Programs: Resource Sharing...........Joan M. Fredrickson, Chairperson Sky Room Fort Lee, Virginia WORKING GROUP 5: Cooperative Library Programs: Procurement......Dorothy A. Cross, Chairperson Castellana Lounge Fort Bragg, North Carolina

lian Career Program for Librarians.....Ingjerd O. Omdahl, Chairperson

HQ DARCOM

Alexandria, Virginia

Revision of CPR 950-21, Army Civi-

Coronado II

WORKING GROUP 6:

(NOTE: Institute participants in the GS-1411 series as well as non-Army participants will be on an all-day tour of area libraries on this date. The tour leaves from the Hilton Inn at 0800 hours and will include stops at the Sergeants Major Academy, Air Defense School, Fort Bliss Post Library, El Paso Public Library, University of Texas at El Paso, University of New Mexico at Las Cruces, and the libraries at White Sands Missile Range. Your host for this grand tour is Mr. Glenn Wilson, Sergeants Major Academy Learning Resources Center, Fort Bliss.)

CAREER DAY

SESSION 9. "You & Me and the 2302...maybe a promotion too."

Recurring, ongoing contacts with careerists indicate that much unhappiness, uncertainty and confusion still exists regarding the Army Career Program, and, more specifically, the Army Librarian Career Program. Most of these contacts reveal that the dissatisfaction or "gripes" result from a poor understanding of the mechanics of career program management—how it actually works. Needless to say, some complaints are perfectly legitimate. It would be foolhardy to state that any program is perfect. CPR 950-1 has just gone through a major revision; CPR 950-21 is currently undergoing revision. This shows that management performs its duty of continuously evolving the program in service to you—the careerist. Today's session is not designed to emphasize career program statistics in such areas as placement, equal opportunity goals, etc. These are undoubtedly important topics, but current attitudes toward the career program dictate that today's session focus on the individual careerist. Today will be an open dialogue and workshop session, planned to emphasize how YOUR part in the Librarian Career Program determines its successful operation.

0800 -	0805:	Crystal	Room.
--------	-------	---------	-------

Introduction	of	Presiding	OfficerJames	Н.	Byrn
--------------	----	-----------	--------------	----	------

Administrative Announcements	1 D	17.33

4th Day Presiding Officer Presidio of San Francisco Presidio of San Francisco, California

0805 - 0915: CAREER MANAGEMENT TODAY: Impact of the Revised CPR

950-1 on Army Career Programs......Neil E. Lerch

Civilian Career Mgt Field Agency Operations Branch, Team I Department of the Army Washington, DC

0930 - 1045: THE ARMY LIBRARIAN CAREER PROGRAM TODAY: How it
Operates and its Problems......Neil E. Lerch

Anne R. Donnelly
Civilian Career Mgt Field Agency
Operations Branch, Team I
Department of the Army
Washington, DC

1045 - 1200: ADDRESSING THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE ARMY LIBRARIAN

CAREER PROGRAM: A Revision of CPR 950-21......Ingjerd O. Omdahl
Chairperson, Working Group 6

HQ DARCOM Alexandria, Virginia

1200 - 1400: Lunch

SESSION 9. (Continued)

THE CAREERIST: Focal Point in the Referral System.

The afternoon session aims to explain the individual's relationship to the referral system; why certain tasks must be performed. Every careerist has three means of communication with the referral agency. First is the long-familiar DA Form 2302; second is DA Form 4338-R, a relatively new and more detailed geographical availability statement; and third is the new and extremely important Librarian Career Appraisal and Individual Development Plan, commonly referred to as the "SKAP" package. The second portion has two specific objectives. The first is to convene this Institute as an Ad Hoc Career Screening Panel in order to show precisely how careerists are competitively rated and consequently referred for a specific job. The second is to explain the honesty, integrity and courage required of careerists in preparing all necessary forms. Seeing the actual usage of the forms in the panelling process will make perfectly clear what is meant by integrity and honesty.

1400 - 1530: COMMUNICATIONS--KEY TO CAREER PROGRAM SUCCESS: A Review of the Librarian Career Appraisal and Individual De-

velopment Plan ("SKAP Package")......Nellie B. Strickland

TAGCEN, HQDA Washington, DC

1545 - 1730: THE MECHANICS OF THE REFERRAL SYSTEM......Nellie B. Strickland

Assisted by representatives of the Civilian Career Management Field Agency

FRIDAY, 26 May 1978:

0830 - 0835: Crystal Room.

Introduction of Presiding Officer.....Joan R. Keller

5th Day Presiding Officer

9th Infantry Division (M) & Ft Lewis

Fort Lewis, Washington

0835 - 1015: 2nd Meeting, Working Groups

Check Wednesday Schedule for locations.

1030 - 1130: 2nd Meeting, Working Groups (Continued)

1130 - 1300: Lunch

1300 - 1500: Closing Session:

Working Group Reports:

Working Group 6	Dorothy A. Cross, ChairpersonIngjerd O. Omdahl, Chairperson
Committee Reports:	
Proceedings Committee	Louise Nyce, Chairperson HQ FORSCOM Fort McPherson, Georgia
Steering Committee	R. Y. Yamachika
Recommendations Committee	Margaret M. Murphy, Chairperson
Closing Remarks	Nellie B. Strickland
Adjourning of Institute	Marie J. Lindsey

NOTE TO ALL INSTITUTE PARTICIPANTS:

The entire proceedings of this Institute, including formal presentations, questions asked from the floor, and open forum discussions, will be recorded to assist in preparing a written record of the proceedings. Participation in the proceedings constitutes consent to the recording.

STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE, HQ TRADOC

WORKING GROUPS

- 1. Management Reporting
- 2. Training Program for Library Technicians

Madge J. BUSEY, Chairperson

Cooperative Library Programs: Shared Cataloging

Don OLSEN, Chairperson

Nellie B. STRICKLAND, Resource Person

CORE MEMBERS

James H. BYRN
Katherine A. HAYES
Catherine R. ROBINSON
Normand L. VARIEUR
Janice C. WESTON

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Vivian E. BOUCHER
Richard D. BOYCE
Edwin B. BURGESS
Ida E. DAVIS
James C. DORSEY
Anna B. DUMAS
Betty K. FRY
Lilias R. HAMMERICK
Joan R. KELLER
Frank M. LONDON
Carolyn I. NOLAN
Mary O'LAUGHLIN
Harvey L. REYNOLDS
Mary F. ROGERSON
Raymon TRISDALE

CODE MEMBERS

CORE MEMBERS

Eula B. CURTSINGER Margaret F. HARDIN D. Louise MACLEAN Isabelle MUDD Carol K. NORTON

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Dolores M. ANGUIANO Dorothy A. BROOKMAN Eva M. CATHEY M. Louise DOOLEY Judy A. HAWTHORNE Ruth S. JANSSEN Sharon J. KAUFFMAN Rosemary M. KNOLD Leola D. LIDDIARD Lenna MCCRONE Elizabeth E. MCMAHAN Eula I. MALLERY Brenda J. MANN Doris R. MARTINEZ Alfred C. PAZ Roselyn S. PHILLIPS Katherine P. SITES Arlene S. TIBAYAN

JoAn I. STOLLEY, Chairperson

CORE MEMBERS

Joyce L. EAKIN Thomas A. GALLANT George K. VROOMAN Mary J. WEISS Marcia J. WHIPPLE

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Patricia F. ABRAHAMSON
David J. AROLA
Louise C. BARRY
Tina M. BYERS
Patricia C. FARRELL
Lynn D. HERRICK
Norma M. KUDIESY
Ruth A. MULLANE
Janice PEPPER
Laurel B. SAUNDERS
Judith E. SOMMERVOLD
Amelia S. SUTTON
Clyde W. WILSON
Eleanore M. ZEMAN

- 4. Cooperative Library Programs: Resource Sharing
- 5. Cooperative Library Programs: Procurement
- Revision of CPR 950-21, Army Civilian Career Program for Librarians

Joan M. FREDRICKSON, Chairperson

Dorothy A. CROSS, Chairperson

CORE MEMBERS

Dean A. BURNS Lyle W. MINTER Doris O. MOSLEY Patricia B. OLSTEAD Mary H. WOOTEN

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Patricia M. ALTNER Frances S. BARRETT Philip M. CASEY Robert L. CLARK Rosalie O. FORST Elizabeth T. HINKLE Patricia JARDIN Marie J. LINDSEY Rosemary C. MARLOWE John E. ROSENBERG Erica O. SALOMON Dianne S. TAPLEY Joyce C. WATLINGTON Minnie D. WILSON

CORE MEMBERS

Agnes L. FREY Gloria J. HOLLAND Una D. HUGGINS M. Malinda JOHNSON-Gary D. WALTER

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Maria G. BONILLA
A. Virginia CHANEY
Eugenia A. COLLINS
Lora-Frances DAVIS
Kathryn L. EARNEST
Robert F. EVANS
Marcia W. HAMPTON
R. Vivian HEBERT
Marie N. LUSK
Ruth S. MEREDITH
Iris W. RICHARDSON
Patricia A. REEVES
Juanita W. TAYLOR
Dorothy J. WURGLER

Ingjerd O. OMDAHL, Chairperson

CORE MEMBERS

Concetta R. ANACLERIO Margaret M. MURPHY Glenna J. PIERSALL Benard E. STRONG Egon A. WEISS

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Joseph L. BUELNA
Jane F. COONEY
Dorothy W. EVANS
Delfina C. GALLOWAY
Linda L. GAUNT
Pauline C. HARVAN
Annette B. KELL
Kathryn E. MCKEE
Marijean MURRAY
N. Bonnie RICKS
Brenda W. SHANHOLTZ
Ruth R. TOWNSEND

COMMITTEES

STEERING COMMITTEE

Raymond Y. YAMACHIKA, Chairperson & General Institute Coordinator Louise NYCE, Co-Coordinator Marie N. LUSK, Installation Coordinator Ingjerd O. OMDAHL Nellie B. STRICKLAND

PROCEEDINGS COMMITTEE

Louise NYCE, Chairperson James C. DORSEY Thomas A. GALLANT Rosemary C. MARLONE

RECOMMENDATIONS COMMITTEE

Concetta R. ANACLERIO, Chairperson Madge J. BUSEY Lora-Frances DAVIS Agnes L. FREY Una D. HUGGINS Ruth A. MULLANE Louise NYCE Don OLSEN Ingjerd O. OMDAHL Benard E. STRONG Raymond Y. YAMACHIKA

SESSION REPORTERS*

SESSION 1.

Eva M. CATHEY Marcia W. HAMPTON Elizabeth E. MCMAHAN Harvey L. REYNOLDS Erica O. SALOMON

SESSION 4.

Dolores M. ANGUIANO Louise C. BARRY Sharon J. KAUFFMAN Dianne S. TAPLEY Gary D. WALTER Clyde W. WILSON

SESSION 7.

Linda L. GAUNT Frank M. LONDON Marijean MURRAY Brenda W. SHANHOLTZ

SESSION 2.

David J. AROLA Philip M. CASEY A. Virginia CHANEY Ruth S. JANSSEN Iris W. RICHARDSON

SESSION 5.

Dorothy A. BROOKMAN Betty K. FRY Roselyn S. PHILLIPS John E. ROSENBERG Juanita W. TAYLOR Minnie D. WILSON

SESSION 8.

Edwin B. BURGESS Anna B. DUMAS Lynn D. HERRICK Kathryn E. MCKEE Katherine P. SITES Eleanore M. ZEMAN

SESSION 3.

Frances S. BARRETT Elizabeth T. HINKLE Leola D. LIDDIARD Brenda J. MANN Ruth S. MEREDITH N. Bonnie RICKS

SESSION 6.

Ida E. DAVIS
Delfina C. GALLOWAY
Norma M. KUDIESY
Lenna MCCRONE
Carolyn I. NOLAN
Laurel B. SAUNDERS
Arlene S. TIBAYAN

SESSION 9.

Tina M. BYERS
Kathryn L. EARNEST
Robert F. EVANS
Eula I. MALLERY
Mary O'LAUGHLIN
Alfred C. PAZ
Janice PEPPER
Judith E. SOMMERVOLD
Raymon TRISDALE

*Individual session reports are indispensible to the 1978 Army Library Institute Proceedings. The various reports for each session are merged into one composite report, which becomes part of the printed proceedings. Wide coverage insures that no important points are omitted. Therefore, please prepare a thorough report on the session you are covering and mail it by 15 June 1978 to:

Commander
HQ TRADOC (ATAG-MS-L)
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

S EPORT 9 SSION S

Marie N. Lusk, Installation Coordinator, introduced the First Day Presiding Officer, Jane Bentley Cooney, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, who convened the Institute.

Major General J. J. Koehler, Jr., Commanding General, U.S. Army Air Defense Center and Fort Bliss, extended the participants a warm welcome to the El Paso/Fort Bliss area. His remarks on the Army librarian's contributions to the soldier's morale and educational welfare helped cement the attitudes of cooperation and creative endeavor that brought the participants to El Paso.

Louise Nyce, Institute Co-Coordinator, prefaced her remarks by stating that she would be "standing in" for Raymond Y. Yamachika, who recently assumed the position of Library Program Director for Europe. She set the stage for the week's activities, urging the participants to glean all they could from the sessions, and to take their information and reactions back to share with their staff and supervisors. If the first Institute cultivated the spirit of cooperation among the participants, this one is meant to generate involvement. She strongly encouraged interfacing with peers, guests from other services and local libraries, and the invited experts throughout the sessions.

SESSION 1. COMPUTER-BASED CIRCULATION CONTROL SYSTEMS: A State-of-the-Art Report. Monday, 22 May 1978.

Dr. Ralph M. Shoffner, Vice President, Ringgold Management Systems, addressed several areas of concern to librarians exploring the feasibility of installing a computer based circulation control system. These included the common purpose and function of both manual and on-line circulation control systems, as well as the costs and benefits of computer-based systems.

Whether manual or computer-based, the purpose and function of any circulation system are the same, namely, to register library items through charge and discharge, handle holds and reserves, signal such restrictions as reference or nonstandard loan periods, identify materials and patrons, and provide bibliographic access. Most available computer-based circulation control systems provide these functions to varying degrees.

Essentially, a library has three options in acquiring a computer-based circulation control system: "in-house" design, adoption of another library's system, or purchase from a commercial vendor. Currently, seven vendors provide complete packaged systems, six of which are

on-line. The last is mixed mode, which operates on a combination of the on-line and batch modes.

The implementation process demands extreme care and attention to both preparing fully for system installation and monitoring system performance. Adequate advance planning is imperative and usually includes a staff schedule designed solely to accommodate the planning process. Document precisely what the system must achieve and its cost. A firm implementation schedule, with adequate lead time, should be prepared and followed.

System selection encompasses far more than matching library needs to system capabilities. Due consideration must be given to the procurement procedure, especially when working within stringent Army guidelines. Minimum function and cost deserve painstaking scrutiny. Until these requirements are fully met, any selection of the numerous options available cannot be made on the basis of supplementing the basic program in a useful or efficient manner. Examine the contract carefully, as it is a binding partnership between the library and the vendor. The library must take the initiative in ensuring that the contract meets library needs. Pay very close attention to costs - they are often underestimated.

Frequently, some physical preparation is required prior to installation. This may well involve securing adequate space for the system and its associated paperwork files, or simply upgrading existing electrical facilities. Tell library patrons what the new system will do for them and which new procedures they will be required to follow. If staff training is fully documented, the necessary references will be available for the later execution of unfamiliar or seldom-used routines.

A period of formal system testing follows installation in order to determine that the system performs all routines properly. In most library environments parallel testing of the old versus the new system will be required. Do not accept the system until the vendor has made all necessary corrections or modifications.

Costs and benefits are judged from various perspectives, which often results in divergent interpretations of the same data. The library's basis for system appraisal and cost extimates should be clearly stated and relate directly to any conclusions drawn.

Following Dr. Shoffner's presentation, several members of the Reaction Panel related their experiences with computer-based circulation control systems:

Richard W. Boss, University Librarian, Princeton University Library,

speaking from experience, strongly emphasized assessing all possible risks taken when implementing an automated circulation system.

Charles Goldstein, Chief, Computer Technology Branch, National Library of Medicine, related his experiences in developing NLM's own system and stressed the importance of project planning and documentation.

Sheldon P. Roufa, President, DataPhase Systems, enumerated items to consider before implementing a system.

Larry Steele, of CL Systems, described the management capabilities that automating the circulation system gives library administrators.

John P. Weiss of Gaylord Library Systems discussed his company's product and mentioned the new circulation control system it will be marketing.

David Earl Holt of the Austin Public Library provided a humorous and optimistic synopsis of the library's experiences in implementing an automated system.

Lastly, Normand Varieur, US Army Armament Research and Development Command, made several observations concerning circulation automation and reemphasized the importance of project planning and documentation.

The panelists answered any questions from the floor prior to concluding the session.

(The full text of Dr. Shoffner's address appears at Appendix B.)

INSTITUTE-WIDE RECEPTION AND DINNER PROGRAM. Monday, 22 May 1978.

The Hilton Inn's Crystal Room provided a congenial backdrop for the Institute-Wide Reception and Dinner Program held Monday evening. It was a pleasant interlude providing participants the opportunity to meet each other and discuss the day's activities, as well as to enjoy the fellowship of a good dinner. The keynote speaker was Dr. Thomas J. Galvin, Dean of the Graduate School of Library and Information Sciences, University of Pittsburgh, and President-Elect of the American Library Association. Dr. Galvin discussed the pivotal issue of managerial survival today. His obvious experience and knowledge of the subject was pleasantly complemented by his informal yet "galvinizing" delivery. (A complete transcript of Dr. Galvin's text appears at

Appendix A.)

The relationship between managerial effectiveness and managerial error was first explored. In a logical, persuasive manner Dr. Galvin developed the thesis that while effective managers probably make more mistakes than poor ones, they do not repeat those mistakes. Two important corollaries derive from this thesis. First, the manager must recognize and accept that complex problems comprise the most challenging aspect of the managerial assignment, and, that such problems do not have simple, easy or complete solutions. Further, in the dynamic nature of problem solving, one decision often leads to others, a phenomenon referred to as "decision treeing." Effective managers quickly hone their skills in anticipating the consequences of decisions - in forecasting or taking the long view.

The second conclusion rests firmly on the inevitability -and desirability-of organizational change as expressed in <u>Galvin's First Law of Institutional Dynamics</u>:

"Given a dynamic external environment, no institution can ever remain static. It is either improving or it is declining; it is either expanding or it is contracting; it is either getting stronger or it is getting weaker; it is either getting better or it is getting worse."

Acceptance of this thesis underpins true managerial and organizational growth, as demonstrated by those managers concerned with how to "confront the future directly and support constructive new developments." It follows that managers nostalgic for the past, or worse, "trying to alter the present in order to make it more closely resemble the past" are courting managerial and organizational stagnation or death. To paraphrase, "You gotta have a vision!"

However, a word of caution is due those zealous managers who may lose sight of the fact that in the dynamic managerial environment things will never be set permanently right. Institutional dynamics obviates this utopian goal. A related pitfall is expecting policies or procedures, of themselves, to solve problems, a task only people can perform.

Dr. Galvin's peroration appropriately grappled with the sticky question of managerial style. He is unable to accept the simple substitution of the consensual style for the authoritarian. Fully appreciating how both managers and subordinates are apt to see the managerial function from one viewpoint only, he is nonetheless optimistic that library managers are fully capable of developing new styles of leadership that take active responsibility for identifying appropriate directions in library development and the vigorous aggressive pursuit of clearly-

defined institutional and client interests.

SESSION 2. MICROGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT IN LIBRARIES: A Review. Monday and Tuesday, 22-23 May 1978.

Mr. Carl M. Spaulding, Program Officer, Council on Library Resources, focused his discussion on the important areas of computerized microfilm, new equipment, equipment selection, and the various types of film presently in use.

Source document microfilming requires separately filming individual pieces of paper, which is an extremely time-consuming process. In the computer output microfilm (COM) process, the cathode ray tube (CRT) places images, in sequential order, directly on the film. Although image complexity is limited by what can be shown on a CRT, the process is very inexpensive. COM is far cheaper than paper for quantity reproductions, which is true for film in general. Currently, COM is used chiefly to reproduce documents fulfilling ready reference needs, such as phone books, parts catalogs, and book order or overdue lists. This has been a dominant factor in bringing forth a new generation of machines. Microfiche is used far more frequently than microfilm, although the latter is still used for newspapers.

The new generation of microform equipment completely avoids the 'wet' process of reproduction. This troublesome procedure provided the user unsatisfactory copy on grainy, heavily coated paper. The image was apt to be unevenly developed and could not be written upon. Frequently, the roller assembly jammed on deposits of liquid toner. The newer 3M and Xerox reader-printers use the 'dry' process exclusively. This more convenient process, coupled with reasonable prices and the wide range of available lenses accommodating the numerous reduction ratios used by publishers, makes these machines extremely popular with libraries. The Minolta RP405, with the added advantages of attachments able to accommodate fiche up to four times larger than normal and the ability to copy both negative and positive fiche, is quickly changing from the wet to the dry process.

Generally, the microform is thriving. Microfiche becomes more prevalent as paper costs skyrocket. For example, there is a growing trend away from printouts to microfiche, which is falling in price and rising in quality, although some substandard film will always be around. Microfiche reader-printers are improving quite steadily and use of the more expensive silver halide film is plummeting. Not unexpectedly, the healthy competition in the micrographics field has given rise to a wide range of quality products, from reader-printers to reels, and has even spawned a used reader-printer market. Newer models now have a turret with three or four built-in lenses, which greatly facilitates and improves

focusing.

Mr. Spaulding stressed that <u>ALA Library Technology Reports</u>, <u>Micrographics Equipment Review and NMA Publications</u> are valuable selection aids for micrographic equipment. When selecting equipment the buyer must ensure that it adapts well to the full range of materials that will be used. Whenever possible test the equipment in the library rather than the showroom environment, as equipment may frequently be used on a trial basis. Contact other librarians presently using the equipment under consideration for their appraisal of its capabilities and performance.

Although numerous qualities and performance characteristics of reader-printers are examined by professional consumer groups, Mr. Spaulding detailed various items to visually inspect when testing a machine. The image resolution should be uniform and clear over the entire screen, not just the center, so inspect the image at the corners of the screen. The image brightness must likewise be uniform and not glaring. Choose an easily manipulated reader-printer, which both staff and patrons can load or unload easily. It is essential that the equipment be simple to focus and that it hold the focus. Ensure that the available magnifications match the reductions to be used most frequently. The glass parts should be convenient to reach and clean. Avoid any equipment that will collect much dust. Most important, do not even consider equipment unless it uses the 'dry' process.

The three types of film now in use are silver halide, visicular, and diazo. Although all may be used in making copies from an original, only silver halide is used for original filming. Used chiefly for archival purposes, silver halide film is very expensive, and susceptible to damage from fungus, water, redox spots, and emulsion scratches. Both diazo and vesicular are susceptible to heat and their long-range storage characteristics are not known. The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) has developed standards for the production, development, and storage of silver film; none exist for the others, but diazo standards are forthcoming. The relatively low cost of non-silver film has led to a great upsurge in its use. The New York Times and GPO are gradually phasing out the use of silver film, even for depository purposes. ERIC and NTIS do not use silver film at all.

In closing the session, Mr. Spaulding strongly advocated creating one central archival agency for micropublished resources. This would eliminate dependence on vendors, who are likely to keep archives only for materials of current commercial value.

SESSION 3. COPYRIGHT AND THE LIBRARIAN. The New Law. Monday and Tuesday, 22-23 May 1978.

Mr. Waldo H. Moore, the Assistant Register of Copyrights for Registration, Library of Congress, conducted the session on the new copyright law, an issue of obvious importance to professional librarians.

Article One of the Constitution awards copyright ownership to the author. The article's intent is to promote the progress of learning, and for that reason protects the writings of authors and patents of inventors for a limited time. Federal statutes dealing with copyright have been in existence since 1799. Since that time the law has undergone four revisions, with the latest being signed into law by President Ford in October 1976 to become effective 1 January 1978.

The new law provides definitions to facilitate interpretation and states which classes of materials may or may not be copyrighted. Sections 106-108 are of primary importance, as the first concerns authors' rights, while the latter modify those rights by providing guidelines for the legal reproduction and distribution of copyrighted materials.

The physical object, such as a book, is really not affected by copyright, since the law only allows an author to prohibit duplication. The physical transfer of copyrighted materials does not involve infringement which occurs only if certain materials are copies in excess of the law or for profit.

Materials published anywhere prior to 1906 are totally unprotected by copyright. Works published between 1906 and 1950 are protected only if the copyright was renewed after 28 years. Publications from 1950 to 1977 are still protected by the original copyright. It is unwise to assume a copyright no longer exists, since the Copyright Office is still issuing renewals. Under the new law, copyright ceases automatically fifty years after the author's death.

Publications of the U.S. Government are in the public domain and, as such, unprotected by copyright. Federal employees may publish reports in the line of duty, but such works are likewise in the public domain. Any governmental edict -federal, state, or local- may not be protected by copyright, although official tourist literature and other state-level materials may be. Lastly, no idea or fact falls under provisions of the new law, only the manner in which the fact or idea is expressed.

Section 107 covers fair use, stating the extent to which copyrighted materials may be distributed or copied before the author's rights are considered to have been infringed. The case of Williams and Wilkins vs. Bolt Publishing Co. hinged upon this question. Its complicated litigation clearly proved the great need for unambiguous guidelines in this area.

Section 108 exempts certain libraries or archives and their employees from copyright provisions when making copies for their users and institutions. In any library, only the user is responsible for what is copied on an unsupervised machine. Providing paper for the machine does not constitute supervision.

Mr. Moore made various Library of Congress copyrighted publications available, and strongly urged that participants sign up for the mailing lists the Copyright Office maintains.

SESSION 4. PLANNING PROCESS FOR DEVELOPING COMMUNITY-WIDE LIBRARY SERVICES. Tuesday, 23 May 1978.

Mr. Vernon E. Palmour, Senior Vice President, King Research, Inc., is principal investigator for research to develop manuals to guide public libraries anywhere in formulating standards. This is a 21-month project funded by the Office of Education for the Public Library Association (PLA) of ALA.

Standards for public library systems first appeared in 1956 and were last updated in 1966. Professional acceptance has not been enthusiastic because the standards have focused primarily on institutional needs. The new standards being developed will respond to community and individual needs rather than those of the institution serving them. As Army libraries follow ALA guidelines, Mr. Palmour feels that the Army community can benefit greatly from participation in cooperative programs designed to achieve a viable library information service. The austere fiscal situation facing all libraries makes it especially important to determine needs perceived by the community, which should be involved in the planning process.

Phase I, begun in October 1977, addressed developing the study's methodology, which was based partially on past research. Phase II, begun in summer 1978, will be a year-long test of Phase I methodology. Two public libraries, including Baltimore County Public, will be involved in the test. Institute participants showed great interest at the prospect of selected Army libraries taking part in the test.

Mr. Palmour outlined the five steps in the planning process. The first involves a concerted effort to determine community information needs and resources. This presupposes a thorough knowledge of the community environment and characteristics of its population. Citizen input is encouraged through direct citizen participation or by a survey of their needs. Community resources must be thoroughly inventoried.

The next phase entails evaluating community resources with special

emphasis on existing public library services. This evaluation should allow for the potential of existing resources and determine the library's precise role in the community. Patron and staff surveys will elicit a thorough evaluation of library services.

Phase 3 focuses on establishing goals, objectives, and priorities. A written mission or purpose statement for the library gives this procedure a solid foundation. Goals must be set, but only if the objectives are measurable. Determine priorities according to several sets of assumptions regarding the future.

Phase 4 emphasizes strategies for program development, which demands considerable flexibility. After identifying any short-term constraints or pressures, alternative strategies are developed with an eye to possible policy change. Each alternative is carefully scrutinized and judged prior to either selecting strategies or implementing policy changes.

The final phase concerns the methodology employed in monitoring and evaluating progress. All items to be monitored are defined precisely prior to devising sampling methods and plans. Plans for analysis and presentation should likewise be determined in advance. Most important, all findings must be recycled through the planning process.

Mr. Palmour stressed that beginning with Phase 3, the process is circular. He noted that a viable planning process provides consideration for different types of potential users. Mr. Palmour believes that broad five-year goals should be written down and that the process is futile unless it becomes part of everyday management.

SESSION 5. THE STUDY OF ARMY LIBRARIES: Where Do We Stand Today. Tuesday, 23 May 1978.

MAJ Paul T. Girard, Office of The Adjutant General (TAG), Plans and Operations Directorate, HQDA, provided Institute participants both a background review and a timely update on the status of the <u>Study of US Army Libraries</u>, completed in July 1976.

The Army has become increasingly aware of the pressing need for improved coordination in the management of its library activities. Army libraries have already been strongly criticized for poor program management and they face possible further criticism if they take no action to improve the management of library and information resources. Yet, prior to 1972 no single Department of the Army (DA) agency or office had complete data on the magnitude of the Army's library program. The Federal Library Survey of that year discovered 940 Army libraries with a total budget of \$24 million; it also discovered them to be in dire need of improved management techniques. Subsequently, TAG initiated a study

of the situation.

The Army Audit Agency investigated the Army's morale, welfare and recreation program in 1974. The evaluation of resources management of Army libraries was likewise negative. The agency recommended both expanding the TAG study and establishing a centralized management system for Army libraries under one DA staff agency.

As a result of the audit, the Study was approved to begin in October 1974, although delays deferred it until September 1975. Its purpose was to gather data concerning the universe of Army library resources, and to define appropriate communications channels for the issuance of professional guidance, policies, standards, and general procedures. Its ultimate purpose was to ensure more effective patron services and to devise guidelines for more economical procurement and administrative cooperation.

The Study identified numerous problem areas and strongly deplored the lack of an organizational structure essential to provide guidance, establish policy, and set standards. This absence of organizational structure, compounded with poor communications, contributed greatly to library problems. These included a dearth of measurable goals and objectives, scant interlibrary cooperation, no uniform policies or standards, poorly defined missions, and inadequate funding.

The study group formulated several recommendations that addressed these and other problems. Most important was the recommendation to form a central library management office within the Adjutant General Center (TAGCEN). Its responsibilities would include formulating policies, objectives, and guidelines; identifying and establishing channels of communication; and implementing periodic reporting requirements. It would also serve as the principal library advisory agency to major commands (MACOM's) or installations and as a clearinghouse for problems affecting all types of Army libraries. A supporting advisory council, composed of permanent and rotating members, was likewise proposed. This council would study problems, recommend solutions, and provide necessary assistance. Its members would include MACOM representatives.

The Study strongly urged implementing -as a long-range goal- the operation of all libraries on an installation as a single system with central management. Various pilot projects in the realm of cooperative library activities were likewise recommended. These included shared cataloging, centralized procurement, and resource sharing. Funding for most recommended cooperative programs would be provided at the DA and MACOM levels.

In 1976, TAG approved the Study for staffing at the DA level. Three non-concurrences contended that the technical libraries maintained by

several agencies were unique and could be more effectively managed by technical elements. As the non-concurrences were not resolved, the Study was subsequently set aside.

Early in 1976 BG Pennington, The Adjutant General, directed that action be taken to resurrect the Study. This involved validating previous concurrences, resolving the three non-concurrences and preparing to establish an Army library office. A short time later the Study was sent to the MACOM's for comment and responses indicated considerable support. The previous non-concurrences concerning technical libraries have not yet been resolved, but separate action is being taken on them. It is anticipated that the Army Library Management Office (ALMO) will be formed soon under the staff directorate for the Community Life Management Office, TAGCEN.

SESSION 6. INNOVATION AND ITS EFFECTS UPON LIBRARY STAFFING. Tuesday, 23 May 1978.

Dr. Shoffner's second address to the Institute explored the question of innovation, which for him denotes any change of library operations affecting either staff or equipment. Statistics for the 1960's show that library staffing grew considerably, but the annual growth rate for paraprofessionals was nearly three times that for librarians. Many factors contributed to this phenomenon. Centralization of infrequently performed functions requiring special knowledge, such as cataloging, considerably diminishes duplicative professional effort and positions. Standardizing functions simplified them, thereby enhancing paraprofessional opportunities, as in copy cataloging. The rapid expansion of libraries during the 1960's was not accompanied by immediate growth in the number of professionals available, which probably led to hiring paraprofessionals instead. Lastly, the pressures of equal opportunity requirements have resulted in tighter definitions of job functions performed and skills required to perform them. Dr. Shoffner feels that additional circumstances will demand increased use of paraprofessionals and that this is desirable if we anticipate future pressures and determine how to reduce training requirements while retaining performance quality.

Alfred North Whitehead's <u>Introduction</u> to <u>Mathematics</u> railed against the attitude that people should cultivate the habit of thinking about what it is they do, stating:

"Civilization advances by extending the number of important operations which we can perform without thinking about them."

Elaborating upon this thesis, Dr. Shoffner stated that innovation can reduce the number of required skills while retaining or improving

library services.

Two types of innovations have been taking place in the library community. One is simply the trend toward functional centralization, such as Library of Congress cataloging, which basically changes the work locale, not the skills required. The second is automation, which impacts heavily on the work itself. It is not surprising that automation has gone further in simplifying paraprofessional work, because such duties are usually clearly defined and lend themselves more readily to automation.

However, the reorganization, simplification, or standardization of operations likewise results in improved performance, since this type of innovation creates similar procedures for different operations, thereby demanding minimal training and memory requirements. Functions such as library opening and closure, circulation, acquisitions, and ready reference lend themselves well to this type of innovation. Dr. Shoffner foresees the growing acceptance of these innovative methods resulting in a rate of increased use of paraprofessionals equaling at least half the rate of the 1960's.

The considerable time and effort expended in implementing any innovation make it extremely advantageous to adopt methods proven successful elsewhere, since the reason for such change is to improve service and costs. Reinventing the wheel is a costly pastime. Justifiable independent innovation should first be carried out in the area of standardization. The documentation necessary to this process will be very useful in identifying situations where automation or centralization will be not only feasible but desirable.

Dr. Shoffner closed his remarks by noting that directing our energies towards things we might do differently brings new understanding that augments the variety of tasks that can be performed without thinking about them, which improves civilization.

(The full text of Dr. Shoffner's address appears at Appendix C.)

SESSION 7. SHARED BIBLIOGRAPHIC INPUT EXPERIMENT: A DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER VENTURE. Tuesday, 23 May 1978.

Mr. Paul M. Klinefelter, Deputy Director of Technical Services, Defense Documentation Center (DDC), described some long-range goals DDC has in the area of shared bibliographic control of reports; how attainment of these goals would benefit the defense community in general; and their value to military library/information centers in particular.

He emphasized that these long-range goals are intended to supplement

the information services DDC presently offers. To that end, DDC is developing and compiling, on a test basis, the Defense On-line Catalog (DOC) to serve as a machine-readable data base of bibliographic information combining the catalogs of various military and Department of Defense (DoD) contractor libraries. Whem implemented, DOC will permit users to search the holdings of other defense system libraries via computer terminal keyboards. DDC plans to serve as a clearinghouse by providing on-line availability and acquisitions information for reports not deposited with them; these documents have long been a source of problems. Another future bibliographic project has the two-fold goal of providing printouts of an individual library's documents holdings as well as that of providing computer support for local storage and retrieval of restricted material.

Mr. Klinefelter was confident that a large sector of the defense community would benefit from DDC's plans to serve as a central source of report literature information. When reports deposited in various DoD data bases and libraries are accessible through a central inventory, duplication of research and development (R&D) spending may be avoided and the "turn-around time" for providing needed reports to the laboratory worker or patron should be reduced. Shared bibliographic control with remote access terminals and some provision for "piggy-back" utilization will save the effort and cost of duplicate cataloging and record keeping for many research reports used by the defense community.

Addressing the control of DoD R&D publications, Mr. Klinefelter outlined plans he expects will affect the operation of military library/information centers in various ways. Libraries able to subscribe to such a system will profit through direct access to a much wider body of bibliographic information than previously available because computerized literature searches will no longer be limited to the DDC data bank. On-line searching will save staff time, especially since one option being planned would provide direct access to the shelflist of documents in individual libraries. For many libraries, shared on-line bibliographic control would also represent sharing the intellectual effort expended in cataloging defense research reports. Ultimately, libraries may eliminate maintaining both card catalogs and various records of publications-in-progress.

DDC has set a timetable for attaining a number of its long-range goals. In September 1977, it began building DOC by compiling input from six test sites, including the Army site at HQ ARRADCOM, Picatinny Arsenal, Dover, NJ. DDC hopes to expand its data base by including input from all on-line terminal sites by September 1980. In September 1981, DDC will begin a five-year project of selectively converting retrospective information into the data base, as well as expanding the area of input for current information to include non-DoD reports. Within the same

time-frame DDC expects to begin supplying readouts for the holdings of any library/information center participating in the system, either in computer printout or microfiche format.

Ms. Patricia M. Altner noted some of the problems experienced at HQ ARRADCOM, Picatinny Arsenal, the Army site for the Shared Bibliographic Input Experiment. Computer down time bedevils any on-line system, but this is alleviated somewhat by storing the input on cassettes for later transmission when the computer is up. The chief problem is the lengthy process of descriptive cataloging and keyboard entry, which in combination requires at least one hour per report.

SESSION 8. A PROBLEM IS AN OPPORTUNITY WAITING TO HAPPEN; or WE ARE THE PROBLEM--WE ARE ALSO THE SOLUTION. Wednesday, 24 May 1978.

Ms. Yvonne K. Rappaport, Director of Continuing Education for Adults, University of Virginia, led a dynamic workshop designed to help people recognize and reprogram self-defeating behavior. Her six-hour presentation was crammed with insights into the nature of human behavior and its frequently negative impact on the individual.

The program began with a brief exposition of the characteristics human beings share and their unique configuration within each individual. This individual configuration governs how one perceives the world and one's position in it.

Assessing the recent human potential movement, Ms. Rappaport reported that the emphasis is placed on developing methods whereby an individual can be made aware of unrealized potential by developing "possibility vision." This entails thinking of all kinds of possible solutions to a problem by letting the imagination run wild in a sort of brainstorming session, determining which of the alternatives is best, and putting it into action. She advises accentuating the positive by determining those activities and interests that make one happy and then concentrating on expending one's energy on them.

Two exercises and a motivational film were used to involve the Institute participants in the learning process. The first exercise was designed to help one clarify what activities and interests made one individually happy and whether one was content with the proportion of time actually spent engaged in those activities. The second exercise, which explored self-images, was related to the first insofar as Ms. Rappaport believes that what prevents individuals from indulging in preferred activities relates directly to how individuals feel about themselves. Although one has no control over the periodic change of feelings all people

undergo, one does have control over one's own behavior.

The film "You Pack Your Own Chute" exemplified how a change in behavior (parachuting from an airplane) can change feelings (fear and a sense of inadequacy). Ms. Rappaport believes that the real roadblock preventing one from realizing one's potential is neither time nor responsibility to others, but fear.

Following the lunch break, participants reconvened in three small discussion groups to share ideas related to key points in the film. Meeting again as one group, selected individuals reported their group's findings to the entire assembly. Ms. Rappaport's closing remarks related to these discussion reports and to the philosophy that everyone can, with some effort and risk, control their lives.

Assuming that control presupposes determining which fears are realistic or tangible and which are unrealistic or intangible. Most unrealistic fears stem from concern over what other people think. However, this approach assumes that one has control over the feelings of other people and that one thus limits their options of response. Putting situations in a more realistic perspective allows one to determine what's really at stake rather than what one fears is at stake. Ms. Rappaport suggested using a problem-solving tool such as Kurt Lewin's "Force Field Analysis" chart, which she distributed.

 ${\tt Ms.}$ Rappaport closed with an enthusiastic endorsement for involvement in personal change. Her guidelines were:

1. Select one thing at a time to change;

2. Start with easy changes and success will breed success;

Take risks, expect some results which are less than perfect, and call those situations "learning experiences;"

4. Believe in yourself!!

SESSION 9. CAREER DAY. "You & Me and the 2302...maybe a promotion too." Thursday, 25 May 1978.

The Institute session devoted to the Librarian Career Program (LCP) unfailingly generates considerable participant interest, questions, and comments. New developments are afoot this year, developments affecting every professional in the Army LCP.

Mr. Neil E. Lerch, Chief, Civilian Career Management Field Agency, Operations Branch, Team I, assessed the impact of the revised Civilian Personnel Regulation (CPR) 950-1 on career programs Army-wide. He described all levels of participation, from the role of the Functional Chief

to the individual careerist, as well as their respective responsibilities. The revision became effective February 1978 and covers all registrants, GS-07 and above, in the 1410 Librarian Series.

The SKAP (skills, knowledges, abilities, and personal characteristics) package was described as it relates to the elements contained in the career appraisal and to the librarian job categories, job elements, and technical or specialized skills germane to various types of library positions. The supervisory/managerial portion concerns elements essential to supervisory positions. Lastly, the functional managerial section sets forth requirements for the top managerial positions in the career field.

Letter ratings, "A"-"E", denote the careerist's level of skills, knowledges, abilities, and personal characteristics in these areas. "A" signifies a level which clearly exceeds most aspects of the standards described for the element; "C" denotes that all aspects are met; "E" means that most aspects are not met. "A" or "B" ratings require supporting documentation. Specified elements may be rated on the careerist's potential in that area. The rating process carries the careerist's self-rating up the supervisory ladder, through the MACOM, to DA. In all, four ratings are provided; the Screening Panel's rating is the final one.

Ms. Anne Donnelly of the Civilian Career Management Field Agency addressed problems in the Army LCP and how it operates. She provided current statistics on careerists world-wide and emphasized the need to continually update career documents, stressing that the individual careerist is responsible for accurate and current experience descriptions and referral desires.

When positions are to be filled criteria are established based on the major duties as stated in the job description. Candidates are appraised on the basis of how well they meet the particular criteria. Training and awards usually enhance a careerist's appraisal. Each careerist under consideration is assigned a referral category, ranging from best qualified to low qualified. CPR 950-1 requires that all candidates on a list be contacted. Although not required, interviews are strongly encouraged. A careerist who rejects a job offer or is unavailable must submit a new availability form in order to be considered for other positions.

Management makes the final selection. Whenever fewer than three careerists are available from a referral list, a supplemental list may be requested. The local Civilian Personnel Office (CPO) is supposed to notify both selectee and non-selectees within 30 days.

Ms. Ingjerd O. Omdahl presented Working Group 6's report on revising CPR 950-21. She maintained that librarian careerists meeded more flexible policies. The revised document must fulfill both the Army's requirement for the best library service at the least cost and the careerist's requirements for satisfying work experience.

Discussing the intern program elicited heated response and considerable controversy. Many felt the program gives younger careerists an unfair advantage, priming them for the most desirable supervisory positions, while the established careerists tend to languish in dead-end jobs.

Ms. Nellie B. Strickland, the Functional Chief's Representative, TAGCEN, HQDA, reviewed both the librarian career appraisal and individual development plans. The sample DA Form 2302's provided both good and bad examples for filling out the form. The draft SKAP package was explained and procedures for completion were discussed. The referral system was again addressed and useful suggestions given for assuring consideration for the type of positions desired. The prospect of intra-command developmental assignments stirred a lively, prolonged debate.

The session was concluded with a free-form, spirited -sometimes heated- discussion of the revision to the career program and allowed librarians to voice their opinions of the changes.

ATIONS ECOMMEND MEND 0

The Recommendations Committee Report consists of two parts:

- I. a summary of the status of the recommendations approved at the First Army Library Institute; and,
- II. recommendations submitted for consideration and vote by this, the Second Army Library Institute.

I. THE FIRST PART.

Because recommendations as presented at the first Institute did not directly task organizations and individuals to take action, a number of recommendations were not implemented. The recommendations which were approved and their status is as follows:

- THAT on behalf of the Institute participants, HQDA express appreciation for an excellent Institute and suggest HQ TRADOC be commended.
 NO ACTION TAKEN.
- 2. THAT HQ TRADOC initiate letters of appreciation to the hosting installation, guest speakers, and support personnel. ACTION COMPLETED.
- THAT a Long-Range Planning Committee be established for the Institute to insure continuity of management and technical training provided by the Institute, and to provide coordination of future Institutes.
 NO ACTION TAKEN.
- 4. THAT TAGCEN, HQDA, request HQ FORSCOM to develop and host the 1978 Army Library Institute.

 NO ACTION TAKEN. (A planning conference attended by MACOM Morale Support Officers was conducted at HQDA, and a determination was made that TRADOC would be responsible for training.)
- 5. THAT the Institute report of proceedings and adopted recommendations be forwarded by HQ TRADOC to TAGCEN, HQDA, and that information copies be furnished to MACOM and HQ agencies, and to all participants. ACTION COMPLETED.
- 6. THAT the recommendations of the <u>Study of Army Libraries</u>, July 1976, be implemented for the benefit of those commands and agencies desiring to participate in cooperative activities. ACTION ON-GOING.

- 7. THAT an official joint MACOM/HQ agency committee be formed to develop cooperative projects and programs.

 NO ACTION TAKEN.
- 8. THAT Institute Working Groups submit a quarterly progress report to the hosting MACOM (TRADOC) for distribution as appropriate.

 NO ACTION TAKEN. (Some reports were forwarded, but no distribution was made.)
- 9. THAT procurement of library materials be added to the basic training course for procurement officers as suggested by the Assistant for Procurement Procedures, Deputy for Materiel Acquisitions, Office of the Secretary of the Army, I & L. NO ACTION TAKEN. (Lack of necessary input to provide base for such a course.)
- 10. THAT the Office of the Deputy for Materiel Acquisitions, Office of the Secretary of the Army, I & L, issue a Procurement Instruction Letter (PIL) on the specialized procurement requirements for library materials to procurement officers Army-wide.

 NO ACTION TAKEN. (Lack of input from field personnel to formulate base for requirements.)
- 11. THAT the Library Division, Morale Support Directorate, Personal Environment Systems, TAGCEN, HQDA, request exemption from AR 340-22 for all formally organized Army libraries.

 NO ACTION TAKEN. (The possibility of exemptions was informally investigated, and not favorably received.)
- 12. THAT, for information purposes, all Army libraries receive distribution of current publications issued from the Library Division, Morale Support Directorate, Personal Environment Systems, TAGCEN, HQDA, including the monthly clothbound book procurement lists and "Other Items of Interest." ACTION COMPLETED.

II. THE SECOND PART.

Many recommendations were submitted by Institute members. Those not included in this report were given to the Steering Committee for consideration. The following recommendations were submitted to members of the Institute for a vote. It was recommended:

1. THAT, on behalf of the Army Library Institute participants, HQDA express, through official channels, appreciation for an excellent Institute to the following:

Raymond Y. Yamachika James C. Dorsey Louise Nyce Marie N. Lusk (CARRIED)

Thomas A. Gallant Rosemary C. Marlowe

- 2. THAT HQ TRADOC send letters of appreciation to all guest speakers. (CARRIED)
- THAT the Library Division, Morale Support Directorate, HQDA, make a formal written request to Mr. Vernon E. Palmour, King Research, Inc., for copies of the manuals developed in the ALA-sponsored project, Phase I: Standards Development for Community Library Service, and that selected interested Army libraries be used in the future field test. (CARRIED)
- THAT the Functional Chief's Representative, Librarian Career Program (LCP), request that the Career Management Field Agency revise DA Form 4338-R to include a space where careerists can indicate willingness to accept an obligated position. (CARRIED)
- THAT each Working Group Chairperson submit a brief progress report six months after the Institute to the Functional Chief's Representative (LCP) to be published under "Other Items of Interest" in the TAGCEN monthly clothbound book distribution list. (CARRIED)
- THAT Working Group 6 include an exception in CPR 950-21 to CPR 950-1 authority for command-level developmental reassignments and that all vacant 1410 positions, GS-09 and above, be filled by centralized referrals from HQDA. (CARRIED - 46 "yes", 29 "no")
- THAT a one-day training program for library technicians be included by the Steering Committee in the 1979 Army Library Institute, and that this program be scheduled on a day devoted to the Librarian Career Program or similar sessions. (CARRIED - 2 "no")
- THAT the Library Division, Morale Support Directorate, HQDA, forward to the Army Library Management Office (when established) a request that an official letter be issued through technical channels, outlining a training program for library technicians. (CARRIED)
- THAT the draft list of training courses to be included in CPR 950-21 9. be furnished by the Chairperson of Working Group 6 for distribution

through appropriate career channels by the Functional Chief's Representative (LCP) as soon as possible. (CARRIED)

- 10. THAT the Functional Chief's Representative (LCP) request that Team I, Career Management Field Agency prepare and distribute to all careerists an annual inventory of present positions in the GS-1410 series by grade, showing position title and location, so that Army librarians may be better able to plan their careers. (CARRIED)
- 11. THAT the Steering Committee for subsequent Army Library Institutes be composed of the following:

a. a permanent membership which includes a representative

from each MACOM.

- b. rotating memberships which will include four to six appointed members who will serve for two years. These members will be representative of different types of Army libraries and different geographical areas and interests.
- c. a representative from the host installation of that year's Institute.

(CARRIED)

- 12. THAT copies of the Army Library Institute Proceedings be sent to DDC for secondary distribution.
 (CARRIED)
- 13. THAT the previous Chairperson of the Recommendations Committee present a status report on the preceding Institute's recommendations so that the participants may know what progress has been made. (CARRIED)
- 14. THAT the core items from the Federal Library Survey be used as the basis for an annual report to be submitted to the Army Library Management Office (when established) in recognition of a definite need to establish an Army Library Management Report for purposes of budgetary considerations.

 (CARRIED 3 "no")
- 15. THAT all Army libraries accept telephone interlibrary loan requests from other Army libraries for special situations. (CARRIED)
- 16. THAT the interlibrary loan directory be accepted as an official Army publication to facilitate distribution.
 (WITHDRAWN BY WORKING GROUP 4 FOR FURTHER STUDY)

17. THAT HQDA Library Services show concern over the lack of complete indexing of Army periodicals. Perhaps it could be incorporated into one of the pilot projects, with emphasis on a cooperative effort across service lines.

(WITHDRAWN BY WORKING GROUP 4 FOR FURTHER STUDY)

That concludes the Report of the Recommendations Committee, except for my personal and special thanks to the members of the Committee for their time, effort, and interest.

C. R. ANACLERIO Chemical Systems Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD

REPORTS GROUP **WORKING**

Report of Working Group 1: MANAGEMENT REPORTING

Session 1

- 1. Working Group I was not as productive during the past year as hoped, but at the conference this year, we made some real progress in gaining a foothold on what topics we should address in order to statistically report the usage of Army libraries.
- 2. Resource people present were Nell Strickland and Maj. Girard from TAGCEN.
- 3. Various questions and arguments were aired in our attempts to settle on a reasonable approach to statistics keeping.
- 4. As a starting point we decided to look at the core items so marked in the new <u>Survey of Federal Libraries</u> to determine if those items could be used as points of study.
- 5. Maj. Girard felt from his point of view this Working Group was the most important since we have the responsibility for developing the kinds of statistics necessary to justify and further enhance our programs at the DA level. He felt that the statistics we submit should support our budget needs. His attendance at our sessions, he said, was not an indication that he could tell us what statistics DA wants, but rather to gather data from us, the "experts", on what information should go forward. His insightful comments to the members of the Working Group were most helpful.

Session 2

- 1. Working Group 1 made the following recommendation to the Institute: Recommend that the core items from the Federal Library Survey be used as the basis for an annual report to be submitted to the Army Library Management Office. There is a definite need to establish an Army Library Management Report for the purposes of budgetary considerations.
- 2. Further, a suggestion was made that a working group on manpower survey/staffing guide be developed for the next Army Library Institute.
- 3. In order to study just what type of statistics we should keep, we decided to break into subgroups based in part on the topics of the core items in the Survey. The five subgroups are as follows:
 - public services

- 2. physical facilities
- 3. personnel
- 4. format (Should form be standardized? How should it look?)
- 5. Other than core items what other sections of the Survey could we use?
- 4. Timetables were set up for report submission to insure that a final report would be in the hands of the ALI committee by 1 Nov 78.

Respectfully submitted,

KATHARINE HAYES/s/

Report of Working Group 2: TRAINING PROGRAM FOR LIBRARY TECHNICIANS

Last year's working committees accomplished three tasks:

1. A survey of libraries which showed number of technicians and training opportunities available to them;

2. An informal collection of information on what needs technicians felt they had;

3. A pilot training course.

The survey questionnaire was sent to 197 libraries. 147 replied; of these, 112 had technicians on the staff. Of the 112 libraries having technicians, only 36 offered any type training except OJT. We also gleaned a lot of information on training tools used and the number of libraries of all kinds which use volunteer help. The overall message of results from two committees was that technicians badly need training opportunities.

The pilot training course is a technician's introduction to the library and reference tools. It is designed as a complete lesson plan package that can be shipped out with audio-visual and cassette teaching aids. It will be tested as a teaching package at 3 locations during the coming year and parts will be tested as a correspondence package.

Our survey also determined the need for a central place which will gather information as to formal training opportunities -either by attendance or by correspondence. These will be gathered, and sent as small news items in the "Other Items of Interest" notes which are part of the DA MSL book kit lists.

Our survey committee will conduct a research into other sources of help for those library technicians operating alone in remote areas without official staff channels for help. We will ask for SOP's, handbooks, manuals and other items which librarians have compiled to facilitate work or use as training guides in specific areas. We will also ask for people to volunteer as resource persons in specific areas. Your cooperation in completing the questionnaire and forwarding any material which might be helpful is solicited.

The committee's projects must be continued for several more years to do an effective job.

Respectfully submitted,

MADGE J. BUSEY, Chairperson The chairperson opened the meeting with a brief review of goals and activities of the 1977 Army Library Institute Shared Cataloging Group. One of the primary objectives of the first-year group was compilation and publication of a bibliography on Ohio College Library Center (OCLC) services. It was discovered in the second institute meeting that the bibliography never reached the majority of Army libraries/librarians as planned, and it was decided to reprint/redistribute the original bibliography. The floor was then opened to recommendations for potential activities of the Working Group for the coming year.

After some discussion the following recommendations evolved from the Group:

- a. That Points of Contact (POC) be appointed by Command librarians NLT 15 SEP 78 to serve as resource people in the area of OCLC/shared cataloging. Resource people would be nominated from current Army OCLC users, and it would be their responsibility to serve as advisors to the larger Army library community in all matters pertaining to OCLC/FEDLINK. POC's assistance could range from explanations of OCLC services, technical advice on ADPE, to assistance in complying with all Army regulations pertaining to acquisition of subject services;
- b. That POC references discussed in (a) above be included in the republication of original OCLC bibliography;
- c. That for future convening of this working group to more effectively function, composition of the group be more closely defined, i.e. restriction of members to cataloging/technical processing personnel, current OCLC users, and only those other Army library personnel with an active interest in this functional area;
- d. That additional OCLC bibliographic citations be forwarded to Mrs. Mary Jane Weiss, Fort Leonard Wood, MO, for updates to original bibliography;
- e. That a full report on the TRADOC Shared Cataloging Project be included in the 1979 Army Library Institute program;

f. That the possibility of name authority files, particulary in the area of "U.S. Army" and other military agency entries, from both the Army War College and the Military History Research Collection, Carlisle Barracks, PA, be investigated as a basis for an Army-wide name authority file.

The second scheduled meeting of this Working Group was not convened.

Respectfully submitted,

JoAn I. Stolley Chairperson Working Group 4 considered a wide range of cooperative activities during the course of two scheduled sessions. Full group participation in discussion of old and new projects led to the following actions:

1. INTERLIBRARY LOAN DIRECTORY - The fact that a cooperative product was available at the Institute was a matter of pride. The ILL Directory was distributed to a representative from each library in attendance, with remaining directories to be mailed from Ft. Campbell under the direction of Ms. Wooten, Project Director. The group recognized the achievement of Ms. Wooten as well as Ms. Nyce, HQ FORSCOM, who arranged for printing the directory.

It was the general feeling of the group, as expressed by Ms. Lindsey, Ft. Lewis, that efforts should be concentrated on doing one project well, rather than fragmenting the group with multiple tasks and divisions at this early stage. To this end, further development of the directory will be pursued:

a. Update and additional entries

Mary Nell Wooten, Coordinator

b. Subject indexing
 Sec I Post/Patient
 Sec II School/Special
 Sec III Scientific/Technical
 Sec IV Medical
 Sec V Corps of Engineers

Lyle Minter & Patricia Jardin Doris Mosley & Frances Barrett Dianne Tapley Patricia Altner Philip Casey

Project Coordinator is Patricia Olstead; she will interface with Jim Byrn to include appropriate items from his Census of Special Library Resources.

- User Survey to be conducted in February, 1979; Dean Burns, Coordinator.
- 2. OTHER PROJECTS Acceptance of the tasks involved in maintaining the ILL Directory as a viable resource did not preclude consideration of other possible projects:
 - a. Update/index the Army Library Study Directory (tabled)
 - b. Compile Resource Directory of Army Libraries Comments: This would be a mammoth undertaking. The profile developed at HQ TRADOC is excellent and it could be used as a model; the profile will be distributed to members of the working group for examination and a beginning effort to cover their own

installation.

c. Index Army Times

Comments: Dissatisfaction with indexing of Army Times by Air University Index was expressed; full indexing provided by Ft. Benning is edited to include only items of interest to the Air Force community. The group felt that complete indexing of all Army periodicals is vital.

Recommendations submitted to the Recommendation Committee for vote:

- 1. Recommend acceptance of the directory as an official publication to facilitate distribution and inclusion in DDC.
- 2. Recommend that all Army libraries accept telephone ILL requests from other Army libraries.
- 3. Recommend that HQDA Library Service show concern over lack of complete indexing of Army periodicals by pursuing the possibility of including indexing as a pilot project with emphasis on a cooperative effort among the services.
- 4. Recommend that this working group be an ongoing committee.

Group Newsletter: Lyle Minter, Vint Hill Farms, will continue to edit a group newsletter.

Group Recorder: Doris Mosley, Carlisle Barracks.

Submitted by,

JOAN M. FREDRICKSON

Report of Working Group 5: COOPERATIVE LIBRARY PROGRAMS: PROCUREMENT

Session 1 of Working Group 5 was held Wednesday, 24 May 1978, with full membership in attendance. Session 2, Thursday, 25 May, was attended by core group members only. Session 3, Friday, 26 May, was again a full working group session. Each session was convened by Ms. Dorothy A. Cross, Chairperson. The recorder was Ms. M. Malinda Johnson.

The Working Group reviewed its purpose, goals and progress. Copies of two unpublished reports were distributed to the members: 1) the Working Group's report of its proceedings during the 1977 Army Library Institute; and 2) the first quarterly progress report, which had included a short questionnaire designed to gather specific information about procurement problems.

Discussions following the review disclosed:

1. Procurement problems are still a reality.

2. These problems are apparently of two kinds:

a. resistance or lack of knowledge on the part of procurement personnel.

b. unfamiliarity with procurement procedures or lack of knowledge on the part of the librarians.

- 3. The preparation of Procurement Information Letters (PIL's) requires specific documentation.
- 4. No documentation of specific cases had been received by the Working Group.

The Working Group then divided into subgroups in order to: conduct a mini-survey of the librarians attending the Institute to determine types and prevalence of procurement problems; formulate and conduct, through wide distribution, a more comprehensive survey of procurement problems; develop procedural guidelines for librarians; and prepare a recommended training packet for procurement personnel. Sub-group leaders were chosen as follows: Mini-survey - Ms. Virginia Chaney; Comprehensive Survey of Procurement Problems - Ms. Juanita Taylor; Guidelines for Librarians - Ms. Agnes Frey.

The survey of the librarians attending the Army Library Institute was conducted and produced the following statistics:

Type of Procurement Problem	Frequency Problem Was Cited
Procurement of Periodicals	16
Establishment of Blanket Purchase Accounts	14

Establishment of deposit accounts 14
Procurement of Books 11
Other:

Problems with jobbers, especially with Key
Book Service
Advance payments
Sole source procurement
Stalling, by P & C, and by vendor
Location of procurement office (e.g., at another installation)
Acquisition of foreign materials
Procurement of equipment
Procurement of audio-visual materials, microforms, and related supplies and equipment
Small supply items (e.g., specialized library supplies)
Local purchases
Standing orders.

The comprehensive questionnaire on procurement problems was drafted and discussed by the Working Group. The questionnaire will be refined within two weeks and distributed to Working Group members for comment. The final version will be distributed to all Army librarians for completion. The target date for this action is 15 October 1978.

The sub-group addressing the development of procurement guidelines for librarians plans to study all pertinent ASPER's, APP's, regulations and directives, make contacts with procurement officers at all levels, complete an analysis of the information received on the completed questionnaires, develop guidelines, and to investigate the feasibility of formalized procurement training for librarians.

The questionnaire results will also be evaluated and used as documentation to support the preparation of PIL's and the preparation of the training packet for procurement personnel.

Working Group 5 made two recommendations to the Institute: 1) that the Working Group be continued for another year, and 2) that a workshop on procurement procedures be included in the 3rd annual Army Library Institute with Working Group 5 actively involved in the planning of that workshop.

DOROTHY A. CROSS/s/ Chairperson Report of Working Group 6: REVISION OF CPR 950-21, ARMY CIVILIAN CAREER PROGRAM FOR LIBRARIANS

- 1. During the two meetings of working group #6, Wednesday evening and Friday morning, the discussion centered on training requirements, intern program, and the commands' authority to reassign personnel. A first draft of chapters 1-4 of the proposed revision of CPR 950-21 was handed out to the core members for their review/comments.
- 2. It was the intent to use the two sessions to start work on the appendix which will contain the training requirements and suggested courses. In view of the strong reaction from institute participants to parts of the report on the revision of the regulation, presented to them Thursday morning, the second session of the working group was devoted to further discussion of the intern program and the new reassignment authority contained in CPR 950-1.
- In the area of training, the group discussed minimum training goals/ objectives and agreed that the minimum training objective should be 40 hours annually. Some discussion took place concerning the requirements established by the Librarian Career Program Planning Board of "two/three courses of 5 days duration or equivalent" in view of the DCSPER decision that a course will be counted as a course regardless of duration. The working group indicated that they felt that more credit should be given for a course which, as an example, lasts 40 hours versus one which lasts 4 hours. The possibility of using the system which recently has been gaining support in various professions, of providing credit for continuing education beyond the professional degree through counting "contact hours" was discussed. A specific number of "contact hours," for example 10 hours, is established as one "Continuing Education Unit" (CEU). Training requirements/goals are then based on the number of CEU's established as appropriate/ minimum when considering candidates for promotion. It was agreed that the possibility of using such a concept/system in our training and development requirements should be pursued further.
- 4. In our discussion of the intern program, major emphasis was placed on the intake grade level and competitive versus non-competitive promotions. A great deal of concern, however, was also voiced in the areas of uniform quality intake and training of interns. One of the possibilities brought out concerned consideration for establishing one centralized place to assume the responsibility for recruitment, training requirements, and review of training accomplishments for all librarian interns. The working group was unanimous in its support for the GS-9 intake grade level. In considering the aspect of competitive versus non-competitive promotions, the vote was split, 13 voted for competitive and 2 voted for non-competitive promotions.
- 5. The group discussed further the new authority contained in CPR 950-1

for commands to reassign personnel for developmental purposes without requesting a referral list from HQDA. The group felt that there are various advantages in this new authority but that the concern expressed by some institute participants for possible misuse of this authority should be considered. It was agreed that an attempt would be made to add "safeguards" against misuse while still retaining the positive aspects of the reassignment authority. However, the vote by institute participants in favor of a recommendation, presented by the Recommendations Committee, to except the Librarian Career Program from applying this new authority, appears to have cancelled the need for any further consideration in this area.

- 6. The core members were requested to review the first draft of chapter 1-4 and submit their comments/recommendations to the undersigned not later than 1 July. The projected date for submission of the proposed draft regulation to HQDA is not later than 31 December 1978.
- 7. Recommend that working group #6 be discontinued upon submission of draft regulation to HQDA.

INGJERD 0. OMDAHL/s/
Chairperson, Working Group #6

APPENDICES

KEYNOTE ADDRESS

MANAGEMENT IN INTERESTING TIMES

The preliminary announcement for the 1978 Army Library Institute suggests rather strongly, both in its content and in its tone, that these are not exactly the best of times for Army libraries or for Army librarians. Just to show you how really bad things are, \underline{I} am the after-dinner entertainment!

I was very pleased to be invited to participate in this year's Army Library Institute, because I don't know as much about Army libraries and Army librarians as I ought to, and I thought this would be a very good opportunity to get acquainted. Now that I've spent a day and an evening with you, if somebody asked me, "What are Army librarians like?" I'd say, "Well, they're either very polite or they're very masochistic." Because, on several occasions today, many of you have said to me, "I'm looking forward to hearing your talk tonight." After having been talked at all morning, and all afternoon, it's difficult for me to imagine that you could be looking forward to hearing anybody talk tonight.

The other thing I'd say about Army librarians is that they are very thoughtful. I realized that when I looked at the program for tonight and saw that three hours has been set aside for this talk. I thought, "Three hours - how thoughtful! They must have figured out that I'm Irish!"

The way this evening segment of the Institute has been planned, as I understand it, is that I am supposed to talk and you are supposed to listen. The hope is that you won't finish your part before I finish mine.

There is an ancient Chinese <u>curse</u> that reads - "May you live in interesting times." For us as librarians and library managers, these are certainly "interesting times" in the true Chinese sense of that adjective. "The times," writes Stephen Grabaud in a recent issue of <u>Daedalus</u> "are propitious for introspection, and also for cursing those who govern in high places, whether in the university, the state or the nation." At the risk of straining a paraphrase, there has rarely been a time in the course of human events when librarians have been called upon to do so much, for so many, and been given so bloody little of the wherewithal needed to do it.

This kind of managerial climate — one in which you and I are being asked to respond to infinitely expanding demands for services, and to do so in a context of limited, finite, or even diminishing resources, is at best paradoxical, at worst, downright demoralizing. In management today, an optimist is defined as somebody who says he's not sure how things will turn out.

All of this constitutes, to put it mildly, a challenging environment for those of us who have managerial responsibilities. I believe that our profession, that you and I, can, must and will respond creatively to that challenge. This Institute has been planned to help you to respond more creatively as library managers to the challenge of these interesting times. Tonight, I'd like to share with you my perception of some of the more general aspects of the managerial role which seem to me to be relevant to almost any library situation. There are fourteen points that I hope to touch on*, and you have them before you, as a kind of summary outline headed "Maxims for Managerial Survival in Tough Times." As I go along, I'll try to signal you periodically, so you'll know how much longer I'm likely to be talking.

To begin with, I probably ought to say something about my own credentials for talking about management. I consider myself a manager. Management is what I do. It's almost the only thing I've ever done in twenty-four years in librarianship. I've been a public library director and an academic library administrator, and for the last fifteen years, I've been a college and university administrator. That last may either mean that I've either had fifteen years of experience in academic management, or I've had one year of experience fifteen times over.

I've also been a consultant to a fair number of public, academic and special libraries, almost always dealing with management problems and concerns. Not, I hope, in the sense in which Robert Townsend defines a consultant. "A consultant," Townsend says, "is a man who borrows your watch to tell you what time it is, and then neglects to return it."

I've been a teacher of library administration for almost as long as I've been a practicing administrator.

I use the term practicing administrator in the sense in which a friend of mine uses the word practicing when he inquires of a mutual friend of ours, a dentist, whether he is "still practicing dentistry" and receiving an affirmative response, asks him if he's "getting any better at it?"

^{*&}quot;Maxims for Managerial Survival in Tough Times" follows this speech.

I'm a practicing administrator in that sense--administration is what I do and I'd like to hope that after twenty plus years of "practicing" I might be getting a little better at it.

Pause for a moment to consider what "getting better at" management through experience might mean, because I think that question relates to a very fundamental aspect of management as an activity. It might seem logical, at first glance, to suppose that one sign of improved managerial performance is that one makes fewer mistakes.

Do experienced managers make fewer mistakes than inexperienced managers? If they are doing their jobs effectively, I doubt that they do make fewer mistakes. I suspect one difference between the effective and the ineffective supervisor is not that the effective supervisor makes fewer mistakes, it is that the experienced supervisor who is functioning effectively makes different mistakes, that is, he or she does not repeat the same mistakes.

The manager who makes fewer mistakes may actually be declining in managerial effectiveness and sidestepping or avoiding the very administrative and supervisory responsibilities which are, or ought to be, the essential content of his or her work. Kenneth Shaffer, Dean Emeritus of the School of Library Science at Simmons College, puts this issue in this way.

"Library administrators make mistakes as well as create significant achievements, and probably the best administrators make far more mistakes than the poorest ones. It has been my experience that most administrative errors are made through faulty strategy, tactics and timing rather than through lack of adequate information. More mistakes occur through <u>failure</u> to make a decision than in decisions which are made and turn out to be wrong." (Kenneth R. Shaffer, <u>Decision Making</u>, Linnet Books, 1971, p. vii).

The notion that the best managers make the most mistakes is the kind of discovery that may have a paradoxical effect on us. On the one hand, it's consoling to find out that our counterparts don't always bat 1,000 either; that facing the same kinds of problems we face, they don't do any better job of dealing with them than we do. It's rather like the chap who, when asked, "Which, in your opinion is worse, ignorance or apathy?" responded, "I don't know and I don't care!"

In more pessimistic moments, this kind of discovery may lead us to conclude that library managers as a class are the perfect illustration of Peter Drucker's observation that "the experience of the human race indicates that the only person in abundant supply is the universal incompetent." Or, as Drucker has written elsewhere, that indeed "so

much of what we call management consists of making it difficult for people to work."

Let me suggest that there are two useful general conclusions to be drawn from the discovery that experience does not make the manager less error-prone, and that the frequency of error is often directly, rather than inversely, proportional to the effectiveness of the manager. One has to do with the content of the managerial assignment and the other has to do with the character of the managerial environment.

Let's look first at the content of the managerial assignment. I submit that the most important and demanding responsibility of the manager is to identify and address what I would term "complex problems." Kenneth Schnelle has described, quite accurately I think, the character of the complex problems which are the central substance of the managerial assignment.

"Characteristically, in a complex problem, the problem itself is not clearly defined, the crucial fixed and variable elements may not be identified; and even where they are identified, they frequently will be either unmeasured or measured in ordinal terms only. Finally, even where the elements are both identified and cardinally measured, the relationships among them may The complex problem, be definition then, is the problem which is not precisely stated. The problem elements are either poorly measured or even completely unmeasured, and the relationships between or among variables are unknown or exceedingly tentative. Typically, the complex problem involves an almost infinite number of facts. Most of them are unknown. which have been identified are seldom quantified. The relationships between or among variables are usually matters of wild conjecture rather than certain knowledge." (Kenneth Schnelle, Case Analysis and Business Problem Solving, McGraw Hill, 1967, pp. 2-3).

Let me suggest that one of the most important kinds of understanding that the manager can achieve is to recognize and accept the fact that complex problems are the most challenging aspect of the managerial assignment and that, by their very nature, they do not lend themselves to simple, easy or complete solutions. As Anthony Athos and Robert Coffey point out in their book Behavior in Organizations, in addressing complex problems:

"There is no one right answer...there are usually several alternative courses of action open to us...and often, more than one of them is 'right,' 'okay' or 'good.' Further, we usually

do not know whether they are 'good' or 'bad' decisions until we have implemented them and seen the results..the problems in life that matter are more for living with than solving."

Addressing complex problems, to which there are usually no single right answers is, then, a central aspect of the content of administrative work. And, complex problems arise in managerial environments that are, themselves, not static, but highly dynamic. The managerial environment is dynamic in character in at least two distinct and different respects. The first is the sequential character of decision making—the fact that making a decision almost inevitably results in the necessity to make another decision, and making that decision, in turn, results in the need to make still a third decision. Students of management refer to this phenomenon as decision—treeing. Managerial environments are, by their nature, open rather than closed systems. All decisions have consequences, one of which is that they commonly engender a need to make still further decisions.

Let me suggest, by the way, that one characteristic of the effective manager seems to be the capacity to anticipate the consequences of decisions, especially those kinds of decisions that involve choosing between two or more less-than-ideal alternatives. And lessthan-ideal alternatives are almost always the only alternatives available to solve complex problems. One important difference between mediocre and effective performance in the managerial role is that the effective manager has the ability to take the long view, to look beyond the immediate decision that is required to forecast accurately the likely outcomes of choosing any of the available options. this sense, the managerial role involves the frequent writing of futuristic scenarios, and the test of managerial effectiveness becomes the extent to which these scenarios turn out to match actual outcomes. To reduce the concept to a compact truism--the effective manager is one who hopes for the best while planning for the worst.

Let me return to the other characteristic of the managerial environment which makes it of necessity a dynamic one. We've identified those sequential and open aspects of management which contribute to its dynamic character. The other is the inevitability or organizational dynamics. Let me suggest as strongly as I can that every library manager must understand—and far more important—must be able to accept—the unalterable fact that organizational change is both inevitable and desirable. The logic of this view is embedded in a very simple formulation that I modestly call

Galvin's First Law of Institutional Dynamics

"Given a dynamic external environment, no institution can ever

remain static. It is either improving or it is declining; it is either expanding or it is contracting; it is either getting stronger or it is getting weaker; it is either getting better or it is getting worse."

If most librarians recognize and comprehend the First Law of Institutional Dynamics, they do not always reflect an awareness of it in their managerial behavior. More often, particularly in these traumatic and perilous times when the very existence of libraries is threatened by powerful economic forces, many library managers have fallen into the trap of attempting to deal with the present as though it were the environment of the past, or of expending energy in what I perceive to be the utterly futile task of trying to alter the present to make it more nearly resemble the past. The Carnegie Commission on Higher Education, in its final report titled Priorities for Action, has provided a strikingly accurate description of the insidious symptomology of this highly destructive cast of mind. They write, in language every bit as applicable to other types of libraries as it is to the academic world, of:

"A traumatic loss of a sense of assured progress, of the inevitability of a better future, has occurred. Instead, there has developed more of a nostalgia for a Paradise Lost. The tone of so much thought now is more an attitude of how to hold on to as much of the past as possible—or even to retrieve lost aspects of it—rather than of how to confront the future directly; of how to avoid change, since the most possible changes are thought to be unfavorable or even disastrous, rather than of how to plan and support constructive new developments. The prevalent attitude is more to look back with longing than to look ahead with hope—the situation may be bad but it cannot be improved; the Golden Age of the past is more attractive than any conceivable prospects for the future."

I call the disease - pernicious managerial nostalgitis. It is a progressive, debilitating affliction which strikes those who do administrative work, and is almost invariably organizationally fatal. William Birenbaum, writing in the Chronicle of Higher Education about the fiscal plight of the City University of New York, is highly specific both in identifying the grave dangers inherent in the kind of administrative nostalgitis I've been talking about, and in suggesting ways in which managers can respond affirmatively, rather than negatively, to the question "Is there institutional life after retrenchment?" He writes:

"To avoid death, one <u>must</u> imagine a future beyond retrenchment. Without either a history or a vision of tomorrow, everything

collapses into the moment, the essence of which is to survive, Survival is guided by self-interest, transitory and negotiable, like the stocks on yesterday's market. In this defeat, the University (here we might substitute "library") is beginning to feel like a jungle in which little bands of cannibals are secretly sharpening their spears back in the departmental villages."

The message is clear--you gotta have a vision! (point #8 on the 14-point outline) You have now passed "go," and are 4/7's of the way to the cocktail lounge!

I think there is a bit more that might be usefully said about this matter of change and the inevitability of change within organizations and institutions, as well as about the ways in which we, as managers, respond to change and cope with the inevitability of flux. What seems to me critical for the manager who seeks not merely to survive, but to flourish in difficult times, is that he or she achieve a recognition and acceptance of the essentially dynamic character of management, to recognize that the managerial environment is by its very nature a dynamic, not a static one. I believe that much managerial energy continues to be expended in pursuit of the false and hopeless goal of achieving a static state. My teacher of library administration used to talk about the successful administrator as a person who had "administered himself out of a job." Either he was naive in his understanding of the basic character of library administration, or, more likely, I was naive in my understanding of what he was trying to convey. If so, this is a naivete that is still rather widely shared. I am speaking of the incorrect perception of the managerial task as being one that comprises identifying a finite number of problems within an organization, and proceeding to deal definitively with each one in turn, until there are no more problems left to solve. This notion of the managerial role is based on the mistaken idea that some static state of perfection can be achieved within an organization -- that the job of the library administrator is simply one of systematically setting things right and then just keeping them that way. In particular, this is a characteristic attitude that seems to be widely held by staff, and one of the major obstacles to mutual understanding and effective communications between staff and administrators.

The hard lesson--perhaps the most difficult one for most managers to learn and even more difficult to accept--is that the content of management is problem-solving; that if there were not always another problem waiting in the wings to replace the problem that we've just dealt with, we'd be out of a job. As managers, I think it is essential that we and our staffs arrive at a clear understanding and acceptance of the fact that no matter how capable or industrious or dedicated we as administrators may become, we will never be able to set things wholly and permanently right, because every human organization will

inevitably, by its very nature, continuously generate new problems. Indeed, the very absence of new problems is often indicative of a corresponding absence of institutional progress. When I heard that last statement quoted from the platform this morning, I thought, "Did I actually write that?" It has a dreadfully platitudinous ring, but in my experience and observation it is nonetheless often true. It is also often true that an inability to accept and live comfortably with this aspect of management is likely to engender highly counterproductive attitudes on the part of the manager, most notably a feeling that he or she is the object of persecution by both the staff and the clientele. That is a trap that I suspect all of us who do administration are inclined to fall into from time to time for quite understandable reasons, but I submit that it is essential that administrators recognize that this attitude, if it is allowed to persist, is both dangerous and destructive to the administrator and to the organization. Of course, as my fifteen-year old daughter is fond of pointing out, "It's okay to be paranoid, if everybody really is out to get you!"

A closely related notion that is both naive and misleading is the view held by some managers that systems and documents, in and of themselves, possess problem-solving power. Their goal is to "perfect our documents and systems." My students in library management courses often express the view that policy statements are like preventive medicine—that either a given problem would never have arisen if an adequate policy statement had been in existence, or that such a statement would carry in it the resolution of any problem. I submit that the reality of library administration is, in fact, more often reflected in a scenario such as the following:

Somebody in authority recognizes that a problem exists within the library.

"Gee, we ought to have a policy statement on that."
With considerable effort, a document is drawn up setting forth a policy, or procedure, a methodology or a set of priorities to govern future decisions.

The resulting document or system not only does not prevent the reoccurrence of the original problem or others like it, but often generates a whole new set of problems that either didn't exist before or that nobody identified as problems before.

The important points to keep in mind for managers, I think, are that no policy or procedure can ever be formulated for all time, and also that no policy statement, no matter how expertly prepared or written, ever <u>in and of itself</u>, solved a problem. The best materials selection policy never, <u>in and of itself</u>, answered the question:

"shall we buy this book?" or "will we need two prints of this film?" or "three subscriptions to this journal?" The best written, most comprehensive set of criteria for staff performance evaluation never, in and of themselves, provided the answer to the question "shall we recommend Ralph for a permanent appointment in recognition of his abilities, but in spite of his obvious limitations? Or, shall we get rid of him and replace him with somebody else who's more intelligent even if less pleasant? Or more pleasant, even if less intelligent? Or somebody who's more intelligent and more pleasant?"

Consider, for example, one of the more popular contemporary problem-solving techniques - the systems approach. Used correctly, the systems approach can be a very powerful analytical tool. However, as Arthur Coombs points out,

"a systems approach is simply a device for making certain you accomplish your objectives. If your objectives are in error, the systems approach simply guarantees that your errors will be colossal."

The thing is not to abandon such useful management tools as the systems approach, but to avoid confusing the tool with the task to be performed — to avoid the application of what is widely known among students of the management process as Kaplan's Law of the Instrument named for the contemporary philosopher, Abraham Kaplan. Kaplan has observed that we tend to try to address all problems with whatever tool we happen to have at hand at the moment, whether it is well—suited to the problem or not. "Give a small boy a hammer," Kaplan writes, "and it will inevitably turn out that everything within his reach needs to be pounded."

The point is simply that documents and systems do not solve problems. People solve problems, and they can frequently do a better job of problem solving with the aid of documents that have been thoughtfully prepared and policies that have been formulated and promulgated in a timely fashion.

I'd like to examine very briefly one or two critical contemporary aspects of change that seem especially important in their impact on library management now and in the near term future. A crucial area involves the linking together of three concepts—planning, accountability, and funding. This relationship is clear in the Palmour Study of Army Libraries.

Traditionally, planning for libraries was conceived of as something conducted wholly outside of the context of current operations, something

oriented toward the long-term future, and consisting of glowing predictions of a bibliographical millenium to come. The outcome of this quite delightful form of fantasizing was a good deal like the effect of the old motion picture travelogues of the exotic South Sea Islands that used to fascinate me as a child. The major reasons I found them so enthralling, I think, was precisely because they had absolutely no direct relationship either to my own customary environment at that time, or to anything even remotely likely to happen to me at any time in the then foreseeable future.

Planning today has become something very different from the kind of blue-sky exercise of the creative imagination that I've just been describing. Planning has become an activity that is central, rather than peripheral, to the ongoing program of any library. It occurs in a tightly telescoped time frame, usually five years at a maximum. Nobody attempts ten or twenty-year projections anymore.

The current approach to planning is as much concerned, if not, in fact more concerned, with how we are going to get there as it is with exactly where it is we are going as an institution. And the concern with how we are going to get there—how we are going to achieve the specific objectives we define for our libraries—is stated in terms of a series of direct questions that require quite explicit responses stated, in highly quantitative, very precise, extremely realistic terms. Such questions as:

- What inputs (dollars, human resources, space) will be needed to bring us where we want to go?
- What milestones can be identified that will enable us to measure progress towards objectives?
- What if we decide to reverse direction? Will it be possible? At what cost?
- How will we know when we've arrived? (Or, to put the question another way, how much will ultimately be enough?)
- When we get there, will it have been worth the trip? And how will we measure the value?

hese are exactly the kinds of questions one must be able to answer n making a decision about an automated circulation system.

These statements are intended to help make clear and underscore he quite explicit relationships and direct linkages among the planning udgeting and institutional accountability functions. And by accountability, I refer simply to the fact that in exchange for the control of specified quantities of resources (people, dollars, space) we are required to make certain explicit commitments in terms of projected institutional, programmatic or service objectives that are expressed in measurable terms. And the consequence of making casual commitments, which we are unable to honor, is rather similar to the consequences of writing bad checks—it doesn't take very long before nobody wants to cash our checks any more. If the product of the planning process is not a set of clearly stated, explicit, measurable and achievable institutional goals for the library, then we are no longer credible in the planning and budgeting game.

In this critical area of institutional and fiscal accountability, may I submit that we have not been, and generally are not now, in a particularly strong position to respond effectively. For one thing, we have not always developed a sufficiently acute sense of collective urgency with respect to taking an active role in assuring that the institutions for which we are responsible as managers will, indeed, survive. For another, we have lacked adequate or effective means of identifying and describing the quality of our institutional product. It is service--by its very nature largely intangible and difficult to measure. Consequently, we are placed in a difficult posture with respect to accountability. We are neither able to plan on the basis of how much might ultimately be enough, nor have we been able to account in any very satisfactory way to fiscal authorities for precisely what we have accomplished with the resources that have been made available to us. We have little more to offer than statistics of collection size and circulation, and we are discovering that these are data of dubious authenticity and even more dubious significance to funding authorities. The great danger, to borrow a phrase from Robert Munn, is that the library comes to resemble "a bottomless pit" in the eyes of those who make the ultimate funding decisions.

Let me suggest that we desperately need, as managers, to find alternative vehicles of institutional accountability. Adequate measures will place less emphasis on traditional quantitative indicators of library performance such as circulation and acquisitions data, and more emphasis on qualitative evidence of providing meaningful services to clients. In justifying our institutional existence, we will, in my view, need to become less materials oriented and more client oriented, to find ways to collect and quantify client attitudes towards the library and client estimates of its resources and services and to compare these data over time. Finally, and most central to effective planning, accountability and budgeting, it will be essential that we devise and implement sound methods for establishing realistic, achievable, appropriate service goals and for reporting in a convincing and entirely candid manner the extent to which these goals have, or have

not been realized.

To summarize quickly, I have been trying in this initial overview of the current climate of library management to suggest that change of a very fundamental kind is both desirable and inevitable, that library managers must possess or quickly develop the ability to both initiate institutional change and to respond constructively and creatively to changes that result from the dynamic character of the larger governmental, fiscal and institutional environments in which all types of libraries exist, and that attempts to preserve the status quo at all costs are likely to prove both futile and counterproductive. In this respect, let me again quote the report of the Carnegie Commission on Higher Education in effectively highlighting the attitudinal barriers to urgently needed institutional change among administrators:

The Commission speaks out against "the current survivalist mentality of higher education, particularly among administrators. The attitude is often one of maximum gain at no cost—THE

MAXIMIN PRINCIPLE—and since all institutional gains of importance have costs, the no-cost doctrine means no gains of importance. This is not only the result of the instinct of faculty members and administrators who feel themselves (often correctly) as being on the defensive, but also of the actions of many boards of trustees in selecting "consensual" administrators (concerned solely with mere consent)...rather than builders...

The rational approach for a consensual administrator who wants to hold on to his job is to take no risks, to assume a posture of low visibility, to say nothing but to say it well, while still being 'with it.' The graceful protection of the status quo is the course of action for survival."

Let me suggest to you strongly that the acceptable alternative to the authoritarian style in management, which has in these times fortunately become no longer viable, is not the form of consensual administration described by the Carnegie Commission, and regrettably widespread at present not only on college campuses, but in public, school and special libraries as well. Management by mere consensus cannot be effective as the exclusive approach. The alternative is to find and develop new styles of leadership—leadership that seeks consensus which is sound and responsive to present and future needs, but leadership that takes active responsibility for identifying appropriate directions for library development and for the vigorous, aggressive pursuit of clearly defined institutional and client interests. It is in the development of these new leadership styles and their mastery that the principal challenge as well as the chief opportunity for effective library management will be found.

Our topic this evening - the topic of this Institute - is management, sometimes called "administration." The people who do administration are called administrators. At some point during this institute, you may very well find that you are asking yourself the question, "just what exactly is an administrator." Anticipating that that question might arise, I'd like to close my remarks this evening by sharing with you one anonymous writer's answer to the question - "What Is An Administrator?"

"What Is An Administrator?"

"Administrators are a fortunate lot; for as everyone knows, an administrator has nothing to do; that is, except:

To decide what is to be done; to tell somebody to do it; to listen to reasons why it should not be done, why it should be done by somebody else, or why it should be done in a different way; and to prepare arguments in rebuttal that shall be convincing and conclusive.

To follow up to see if the thing has been done; to discover that it has not been done; to inquire why it has not been done; to listen to excuses from the person who should have done it and did not do it; and to think up arguments to overcome the excuses.

To follow up a second time to see if the thing has been done; to discover that it has been done incorrectly; to point out how it shall be done; to conclude that as long as it has been done it might as well be left as it is; to wonder if it was not the time to get rid of the person who cannot do a thing correctly; to reflect that in all probability any successor would be just as bad or worse.

To consider how much simpler and better the thing would have been had he done it himself in the first place; to reflect satisfactorily that if he had done it himself he would have been able to do it right in twenty minutes and that as things turned out he, himself, spent two days trying to find out why it is that it had taken somebody else three weeks to do it wrong and to realize such an idea would have a very demoralizing effect on the organization because it would strike at the very foundation of the belief of all employees that an administrator has nothing to do."

Maxims for Managerial Survival in Tough Times

- 1. The best managers make more mistakes than the poorest ones, but they don't repeat the same mistakes.
- 2. The content of management <u>is</u> problem solving.
- 3. The role of the manager is to address complex problems to which there exist no single 'right' answers.
- 4. One decision leads to another.
- 5. The effective manager anticipates accurately the consequences of alternative decisions.
- 6. The prudent manager hopes for the best and plans for the worst.
- 7. Given a dynamic external environment, no organization can ever remain static; it is either improving or it is declining, it is either expanding or it is contracting, it is either getting better or it is getting worse. (Galvin's First Law of Institutional Dynamics)
- 8. You gotta have a vision!
- 9. You'll never set things permanently right.
- 10. People solve problems; policies, systems, documents and procedures don't!
- 11. We have to be able to say how much might ultimately be enough.
- 12. Leadership is not authoritarianism; consensus is not leadership.
- 13. Management is a contact sport.
- 14. Though management is active and participatory, rather than primarily passive or reflective in character, its successful practice demands a reflective component.

Thomas J. Galvin Graduate School of Library and Information Sciences University of Pittsburgh

COMPUTER-BASED CIRCULATION CONTROL SYSTEMS:

A State of the Art Report

A Paper to Be Given at the 1978 Army Library Institute

22-26 May 1978 El Paso, Texas

by
Dr. Ralph M. Shoffner
Vice President
Ringgold Corporation
Ringgold Management Systems
Box 368
Beaverton, Oregon 97005

I. INTRODUCTION

A. MOTIVATION

It is the intent of this paper to report on the current state of the art of computer based circulation control systems for libraries. Some computer based circulation control systems have been operating in libraries since the middle 1960's. During the early 1970's on-line circulation control systems were developed and there has been increasing activity in these systems ever since. In general, innovation in information technology is continuing. As a result it is reasonable to expect that there will be continuing innovation in circulation control systems and other automated systems available to libraries. Although forecasting the future is always risky, it is hoped that this review of the state of the art will help to indicate the general directions of our progress.

B. CONTEXT

Before launching into details of this review, we should pause to remind ourselves of the place that circulation control systems have in the library. As we all know, the purpose a library exists is in order to provide an organized system for sharing information among its clientele. Circulation provides the mechanics of this information sharing process. Circulation is a physical process and a control process. It consists of maintaining a continuing flow of the physical materials, books, tapes, records, etc. To maintain this flow, circulation requires space - space for storing the materials when not on loan, space for handling the materials when they are in the process of being loaned or of being returned from loan. The control portion of circulation is concerned with keeping track of who is responsible for the library materials - who has it, when it is due back, and so forth. As we consider circulation control in depth, we should remind ourselves that circulation has many other procedures which are not a part of circulation control. procedures include maintaining the collection through addition and removal of material, maintaining the order of the stacks and of the room, inspection and repair of the materials, sorting, and reshelving. Indeed, in some situations it may be useful to consider as part of circulation the processes of keeping the library open and maintaining the physical security of the materials. It is useful to remember these

other procedures because we will need to continue to staff them even though computer based circulation control systems may give us improved control at reduced costs.

Circulation is closely associated with the other library processes of acquisition and bibliographic control. The library's patrons are often interested in the material on purchase as well as that on hand. Bibliographic control provides the mechanisms for translating the information the patron has in support of his request for information into an identification of the library's material which has the potential of satisfying this need or interest. From there, we can expect the patron to want to borrow some of the material.

While we may feel that it is difficult to measure the performance of the library, our users are seldom bothered by such difficulty. For the user there are but three questions: Can I get the material that I want: What is the cost to obtain it? And, how much delay is there before it is obtained? With the library, a circulation control system in turn should be judged by its contribution to improving the library's answers to these questions.

STRATEGIES OF CIRCULATION CONTROL

Α. PURPOSE AND FUNCTIONS

The principal purpose of circulation control is to provide control of physical items, books, journals, records, etc. whose use is shared among different people. This control is maintained by keeping track of such things as who is responsible for the item, who has it, where is it located, when is it due back, and what shall be done with it after that. By providing this control, it is possible to negotiate competition for use of the materials and satisfy this demand in an orderly manner. The basic circulation functions which must be available for this control are:

Charge

Discharge

Fines

To temporarily assign the material to a specified person, location, or function (e.g. mends, missing, reserve). The charge period may be fixed or open. To release the assignment of the material and to return it to its normal assignment. Other common circulation functions which enhance this control are: Assessment of a fine for material not returned by a prespecified time in order to encourage the return of the material so

that it will be available in the event of alternative demand. The due date may be set at the time of charging or at some sub-

sequent time.

Holds Listing of the patron who wishes to use

specific material when it is available. Holds are commonly placed on an "as available" basis. However, expensive high demand materials are often "held" on a time reser-

vation or booking basis, e.g. films.

Recalls Notification to the present borrower that

the material is to be returned by a specified time because the item is overdue or

it is wanted by someone else.

Restriction of patrons from borrowing due Patron Restriction

to some condition which may be permanent

or correctable.

Short period loans (normally less than 24 Reserves

hours) of materials expected to be used heavily and thus requiring immediate execution of the functions in order to operate

properly.

Circulation support functions include:

Item identification Establishing the information

> which will be used to represent the item and control its circulation, e.g. the period of loan, those to whom it will be

loaned.

Patron registration Establishing the information about the patron, e.g. class

of borrower, name and address.

Although it is not common at the present time, circulation control systems may move toward greater control of the physical movement of materials both within the library and to and from the patron. This would include such functions as:

> Packing Instructions Indication of the items to be

pulled from the shelves for transfer elsewhere, e.g. withdrawal of material from a

reserve collection.

Shipping Instructions Indication of when, how and

where a set of materials is

to be sent.

Shipment Receipt Indication of receipt of the contents of a shipment at a

new location and transfer of responsibility for the contents.

Some circulation systems provide analysis and summarization of circulation activity as an aid to planning and management:

Transaction Volume

Types of transaction, charge, discharge, etc. Volume of transactions, time period loans.

Material Utilization

Classification and volume of material on loan, duplicate demand for specific material. Classification and volume of patron activity. Patrons with high activity levels, restricted patrons.

Patron Utilization

Finally, some circulation systems are beginning to provide bibliographic access.

Author - Title Key

Search based upon keys extracted from all of the titles and authors without regard to whether the items are on loan. Search based upon the use of standard subject headings.

Subject Headings

B. IMPLEMENTATION ALTERNATIVES In concept, each system must provide:

Transaction Records

Control data for each type of event. For example, for a charge, the item, the period and the patron, location or function concerned are specified.

Master Files

Providing all necessary information about the items and patrons necessary to support all of the circulation functions.

Circulation Files

Providing the current information about items on loan or in special status and patrons in special status.

Optionally, the system may provide:

History Files

Maintaining information (not necessarily complete) about past circulation activity.

Even though two circulation control systems may provide support to

the same set of circulation functions, there is considerable variation possible in the way in which the system may be implemented and, thus, in the specific support provided. The major variation between systems results from the way in which these transactions and files are handled. The following are the most common alternatives which are chosen.

Transaction Records

Full information needed to support circulation without reference to a master file.

Partial information, master file reference required.

Meaningful transaction data e.g., material - call number and copy number patron - name

Meaningless transaction data e.g., serial number.

Item identification.

Loan transaction control-loan period, acceptable patron (s).

Location control for transfer, return of material.

Patron identification, service access, service period.

Bibliographic content providing author, title, subject access.

Full inventory

all items and patrons regardless of loan status.

Transaction inventory
only those items or patrons
currently active, e.g. on
loan, at binding, non-circulating, restricted borrower.

Full information

possible to reconstruct transaction sequence.

Partial information item identification, patron class, transaction and date only.

History kept for limited period and then destroyed.

No information transaction purged as soon

Master Files

Circulation Files

as material returned and released.

Depending upon the alternatives selected, certain of the files may have a combined function so that another file is not necessary. For example, a common approach is to provide all information needed for circulation in each book so that maintaining a separate master file is not necessary. Conceptually, the master file has been distributed among the books and the needed information is transferred to the circulation files as a transaction. In a similar manner, the patron who fills out name and address on each charge card is providing master file information so that a patron master file need not be maintained.

Figure 1 provides the common alternatives selected for four alternative implementations: manual; periodic (batch) processing; mixed periodic and immediate (on-line) processing, and, immediate processing. It should be emphasized that these alternatives are only typical and there is considerable variation within implementation types. For example, an on-line system may use full transaction information. Alternatively, a batch system could use partial item transaction information. The only requirement is that all necessary information be available form some source. At the same time, there is greater uniformity in the functions supported by the various systems within a given type. As the figure shows, the functions supported by an on-line system and a mixed mode system are very similar. The major difference is the bibliographic access to the entire inventory via authortitle search key that is provided by the on-line system and not by the mixed mode system. And a second less important difference is the separate nature of creating new master file information for material and patrons under a mixed mode system, but carried out as an integral part of on-line operations.

III. ON LINE CIRCULATION CONTROL SYSTEMS

A. SOURCES OF CIRCULATION CONTROL SYSTEMS

If you wish to install an on-line circulation control system, there are basically three sources: independent development; transfer of a system from some other library; or, acquisition of a vendor supplied system. The earliest circulation systems were independently developed. Then, in a few cases, circulation systems were transferred from other libraries, modified and installed. More recently, some vendors have begun providing circulation sys-

FIGURE 1

TYPICAL IMPLEMENTATION ALTERNATIVES

		Implemen	ntation Type-	
	Manual	Batch	Mixed Mode	On-Line
TRANSACTION RECORDS				
Item Information	Full	Full	Full	Partial
Patron Information	Full	Partial	Partial	Partial
MASTER FILES	None			
Patron		Yes	Yes	Yes
Item Circulation		No	No	Yes
Item Bibliographic		No	No	
Call Number				Yes
Author-Title				Yes
Subject				No
CIRCULATION FILES				
Restricted Item	No	No	Yes	Yes
Restricted Patron	No	No	Yes	Yes
Charged Items	Yes	Yes &	Yes &	Yes
		Printed	Printed	
Full Inventory	No	No	No	Yes
HISTORY FILES	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
REQUIRED FUNCTIONS				
Charge	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Discharge	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
OPTIONAL FUNCTIONS Fines				
immediate/delayed Holds	Immed.	Delay	Delay	Immed.
checked on charge		No	Yes	Yes
checked on return	Return	Delay	Yes	Yes
Recalls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Patron restriction	14			
checked on charge	No	No	Yes	Yes
Reserves	Yes	Partial	Yes	Yes
SUPPORT FUNCTIONS	11 1/8			
Item Identification	Yes	Separate	Separate	Yes
Patron Identification	Yes	Separate	Separate	Yes
ANALYSIS				
Transaction Volume	Loans	Yes	Yes	Yes
Material Utilization	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Patron Utilization	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
BIBLIOGRAPHIC ACCESS				
Call Number	Charges	Charges	Charges	Yes
Author-Title	No	No	No	Yes
Subject	No	No	No	No

tems to libraries.

At present, these vendors are concentrating on supplying total systems consisting of both equipment and the necessary computer programs and procedures. By contrast, the present independent development or transfer of systems from other libraries tends to be focused upon the use of computing facilities which are shared with other applications, applications which may be completely unrelated to the library.

B. NON-COMMERCIAL SYSTEMS

Figure 2 gives a half dozen examples of non-commercial systems which are available. These systems were developed by the individual libraries but are now available for transfer to other libraries. The libraries that developed these are:

1.	Harford Community College	IBM 370/125
	Bel Air, MD.	

2. Bucks County Community College DEC PDP10 Newtown, PA.

3. Virginia Polytechnic Institute
and State University HP3000CX
Blacksburg, VA.

4. East Brunswick Public Library IBM System/3
East Brunswick, N.J.

5. Bucknell University Xerox Sigma 7 Lewisburg, PA.

6. Ohio State University IBM System/360-Columbus, OH. System/370

With the exception of the VPI circulation system, all of the other systems are operating on computing facilities which are shared with non-library applications. With the exception of Bucknell, all of these systems are full inventory systems. That is, all items available for loan are maintained in the on-line system at all times regardless of whether they are on loan or on the shelf. The Bucknell system was developed so that it can operate either as a full inventory system or as an absence system, in which only those items presently charged out are listed in the online system. And finally, all of the on-line systems which have become operational in the last two years utilize serial number identification of both patrons and of materials so that they can make use of the numeric bar code or the numeric OCR-A transaction technologies.

CHARACTERISTICS OF NON-COMMERCIAL SYSTEMS

FIGURE 2

	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.
LIBRARY DATA OPERATIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM	HCC 1/77 IBM 370/125	BCC 9/76 DEC PDP10	VPI p. 4/76 HP 3000 CX	EB 9/76 IBM Sys./3	BU 1972 Xerox Sigma 7	OSU 1970 IBM Sys./360-Sys./370
IMPLEMENTATION SOFTWARE TRANSACTION TECHNOLOGY	COBOL, CICS numeric bar code	COBOL alpha bar code	COBOL, IMAGE numeric bar code	RPG-11, TOTAL numeric OCRA	FORTRAN, DMS alpha punched card	alpha keyboard
TYPE OF I.D. Material Patron	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	call #+ serial #	call # serial #
FILE ACCESS BY Item # Patron # Call # Author-title Author Title Subject	yes yes yes no no no	yes yes yes no no no	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes no yes no no	yes yes yes no no yes	title # yes yes yes yes yes yes no
FULL INVENTORY	yes	no	yes	yes	option	yes
ON-LINE UPDATE Items Patrons	yes yes	yes +b.	yes yes	no yes	yes yes	no * yes
BRANCH OPS	yes	?	?	no	no	yes
ITEM HOLD	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
PATRON HOLD	yes		yes	yes	yes	yes
FINE NOTICES	yes	?	?	no	listing	yes
AVAILABLE NOTICES	yes	?	?	yes	listing	yes
RESERVES	yes	?	?	no	yes	no
RECALLS	not yet	?	?	?	yes	no
LOST ITEM SEARCHES	yes	?	?	?	no	no
MARC INPUT					yes	yes
SOURCE CODE AVAILABLE	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
CHARGE SLIP					yes	yes
DISCHARGE SLIP						yes

^{*}In process of development

C. COMMERCIAL SYSTEMS

Figure 3 provides the characteristics of available commercial systems. The systems listed are supplied by:

CL Systems, Inc.
 3M Company
 Gaylord Bros., Inc.
 DEC PDP11
 D.G. Nova
 DEC PDP11

4. DataPhase Systems, Inc. Eclipse Nova, DEC PDP11

Universal Library Systems, Ltd.
Systems Control, Inc.
Cincinnati Electronics
DEC PDP11
Varian

CL Systems, Inc. together with its predecessor, Computer
Library Services, Inc., is both the oldest supplier of
turnkey circulation systems and also the largest supplier.
To date, CL Systems, Inc. represents more installed on-line
circulation systems than all other suppliers and independently
developed systems put together. As with the non-commercial
systems developed during the last two years, all of the
commercial systems are full inventory systems and utilize
either the numeric bar code or the numeric OCR-A transaction
technologies. A significant difference is that all of the
commercial systems are mounted on minicomputers whereas the
tendency of the non-commercial systems was to be mounted on
larger main frame computers.

The development of a commercially viable circulation system is an achievement that should not be underestimated. For example, although 3M is listed in the figure as having a commercial system, they have just recently announced the withdrawal of their circulation system from the market.

On-line circulation systems are developing as a competitive market area. This is a relatively young and untapped market. Depending on how one wishes to count them, there are anywhere between 10,000 and 100,000 libraries in the United States. And similarly, by any means of counting, less than 1000 of these libraries have a computer based circulation system at this time. I expect that new firms will enter the market and some may leave it. In any event, some interesting developments are likely to await us.

IV. THE PROCESS OF IMPLEMENTATION

A. STAGES OF IMPLEMENTATION

Implementation of a new computer application usually takes

FIGURE 3

CHARACTERISTICS OF COMMERCIAL SYSTEMS

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
COMPANY DATA OPERATIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM	CLSI 1973 DEC PDP11	3M 1977 D.G. Nova	Gaylord 1976 host+ DEC PDP11 Assembly	Data Phase 1977 D.G. Eclipse Nova, or DEC PDP11	ULS 1977 DEC PDP11	SCI 1977 DEC PDP11	Cin. Elec. 1978 Varian
IMPLEMENTATION SOFTWARE TRANSACTION TECHNOLOGY	Assembly numeric bar code	FORTRAN IV numeric bar code	-	MUMPS numeric OCRA	BASIC numeric bar code	BASIC numeric bar code	FORTRAN, TOTAL numeric bar code
TYPE OF I.D. Material Patron	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	serial # serial #	serial # serial #
FILE ACCESS BY Item # Patron # Call # Author-title Author Title Patron Name	yes yes yes yes *1	yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes yes yes yes	yes yes yes yes yes	yes ² yes no ³ yes no no yes	
FULL INVENTORY	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
ON-LINE UPDATE Items Patrons	yes yes	yes yes	yes	yes yes		yes yes	
BRANCH OPS	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
ITEMS HOLD	in ⁴	in ⁴	in ⁴	yes	yes	yes	
PATRONS HOLD	yes		yes	yes	yes	yes	
FINES	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
AVAILABLE ITEMS	yes	yes	yes			yes	
RESERVES		yes	yes	yes		yes	
RECALLS	yes	yes	yes	yes			
LOST ITEM SEARCHES		yes	yes	yes		yes	
MARC INPUT	yes	yes	central	yes		yes	
SOURCE CODE AVAILABLE	yes ⁵	yes ⁵	no	yes	yes	yes	
CHARGE SLIP	no	no		optional		yes	yes
				3, 5, 5, 6,		7 00	, 55

¹ Blank indicates unknown whether system has the capability
2 Access by LC card number and ISBN also
3 Under development
4 Checked on discharge, but not charge out
5 No delivery of code for operational purposes has been made

place as a continuous flow of work. Even so, it is useful to divide this work into stages in order to track progress of the implementation and to reflect the different types of work called for. Unfortuantely, there is no single, correct method of defining these stages. For our purposes, we will define them as planning and control, selection, preparation, installation and operation.

B. PLANNING AND CONTROL

In order to achieve stable operations with a new system with a minimum amount of trauma, it is desirable to begin by identifying all the tasks which must be performed, the relationship of these tasks and the amount of effort required for their performance. It is desirable to follow-up and establish a schedule and staff these implementation tasks. Then, project control should be established in order to continue to monitor the progress of the implementation in relation to the original plan and to make changes in staffing, scheduling, and tasks as necessary in order to achieve a satisfactory implementation.

At the end of the planning stage one should have a document that lays out the expectations of what is to be achieved by the implementation and what the costs of the implementation are likely to be. Before proceeding to the next stage of the implementation, it is desirable to review whether the project plan makes it likely that the original objectives of the project will be met. With respect to the present state of the art, I think that it is fair to say that in most cases libraries do not invest in formal project planning and control to the extent that other institutions have found desirable in their automation efforts.

C. SYSTEM SELECTION

Depending upon the particular institution, system selection can become a complicated matter. First, there is the issue of whether the procurement is to be a competitive procurement. If so, there will be the necessity to establish formal specifications for the procurement and normal procedures to evaluate and select the system from the bids received. And second, there is the issue of the number of libraries for which the selection is to be effective. The greater the number of libraries and different organizations affected, the greater the difficulties in developing satisfactory specifications.

Regardless of the degree of formality of the specification, bidding, and selection procedure and regardless of the degree of complexity introduced by procurement for multiple libraries, the elements of the selection process are the same. In general there is a study of the systems available, their strengths and weaknesses, and study of the internal circulation operations. From this work there will be an identification of the minimum functions, the other desirable functions to be performed by the new system. Then, the library should establish test and acceptance procedures that define precisely the way in which the new system is to perform in the library in order to meet its objectives. With this information the library is then in the position to review and select the most desirable system from among the options available.

Following the review and selection of the vendor it will be necessary to establish a specific contract which will spell out the required performance of both the supplier of the circu-

lation system and the library.

The selection processes used by libraries have not been especially strong. Many times the technical work to develop the system specification has been cursory. Even when the specifications are formal they have seldom been laid out carefully. Many times they suffer from being redundant, incomprehensible, and in some cases contradictory. While page after page of the specifications will be devoted to exhaustive definitions of general requirements for the vendor, the definition of what the system is to do will be very general. I believe that the source of the difficulty is the library tends to underestimate the cost, time and effort that will be required to obtain a satisfactory system. Also, the library is not in the habit of having many choices between automated systems.

D. PREPARATION

While one is in the heady world of project planning and selection of systems it is easy to overlook the mundane world of making physical preparations for the new system. Nonetheless, it is necessary to have appropriate facilities and layout for the new system. In general the problems of physical space preparation are not so much in knowing what is to be done as it is in getting the changes made smoothly, on time, and accurately. These problems mount rapidly if one is working within an organizational context such that space preparation is carried on by some other unit such as buildings and grounds which is not subject to manipulation by the normal, financial incentives. The only alternative seems to be to maintain a constant follow-up on the people who are to do the

work.

Preparation of the files and the identification information for the patrons and for the materials is necessary to support the operation of a new system. Depending upon the system selected it may be necessary that this preparation take place after the installation of the system rather than before. Depending upon the size of library collection and the patron group, this preparation effort can be substantial. However, as a normal rule, the file preparation proceeds well. I believe that, in general, librarians are sensitive to the amount of work that is involved in file preparation. This may be a result of their continuing experience with cataloging and processing. And as a result, they do not tend to underestimate the amount of work that will be required to prepare the materials and the patrons for the new system. Where problems have arisin in file preparation, it usually has been a reflection of problems in other areas, such as, delay in system installation or a failure to realize that use of the system for circulation may slow down the rate of file preparation that can be maintained.

Preparation of patrons and staff, in the form of publicity and instruction, is necessary if the implementation of the new system is to proceed successfully. Such preparation is substantially aided if there has been clarification of the benefits of the system for the patron as well as any new procedures that will be required. And good documentation of the procedures to be used by the staff for operating the system will help greatly. Even with good documentation, however, in most instances it is necessary to carry out extensive exercises on the new system in order to train the staff in the new procedures that will be used. It is a general rule in all organizations that operating staff seldom use documentation. However, such documentation is used by some; still others will use it for reference about unusual procedures which occur after the system is in operation.

At the present time preparation of patrons and staff is about as good for circulation systems as it is for other areas of computer applications. However, computer based systems in general have greater potential and flexibility than is being used in routine operations.

E. INSTALLATION

Installation consists of moving in and setting up all of the necessary equipment and computer programs. It involves

setting up terminals, communication equipment, the communication and computing facilities. Then it is necessary to load the programs and the initial files that will be used. Following this there is testing to assure the proper operation of the equipment. And then, it is desirable to carry out explicit performance tests, using previously prepared data, designed to verify the ability of the system to meet the previously established performance specifications. Following this testing, any necessary corrections will be made and the system retested. This will be done until the system is accepted.

In some cases it is not possible or practical to establish in advance of regular operations that a new system is operating properly. The general response to this situation is to run "parallel". That is, present operations are not immediately discontinued, but rather, are carried on in parallel with the new operation and then the results of each are compared with the other. As a general rule, parallel operations tend to continue for a period of three to six months, after which the former operations are terminated and the new system is operated alone.

Circulation systems could have most of their performance verified by formal testing. However, to date there has been little formal testing used to accept new circulation systems or to identify performance problems. But it is reasonable to expect that increasing experience in the installation of circulation systems, such formal testing will become more routine.

F. OPERATION

After a new circulation system is placed in routine operation the work associated with the system is by no means complete. Of course, it is necessary to carry out the standard circulation operations. In addition, there are new processes which are required in order to maintain the system. First, it is necessary to see preventative maintenance and corrective maintenance with respect to the circulation equipment. Second, it is necessary to continue to maintain patron and material files and identification. And third, circulation systems are still in a developmental stage. As a result, it's desirable to incorporate changes to the system as they become available from the vendor and to identify desirable modifications that could be incorporated into the system.

During the initial period of operation of the new system special requirements are placed on management to insure that the planned changes are put into effect in order to realize the

system's original objectives. It appears that the performance of management has been spotty with respect to the planned reductions of staff in order to accomplish the cost benefits originally anticipated. However, it is unclear whether the operating management has failed to follow through, or whether the anticipated reductions were unrealistic. At the same time, I should hasten to point out that some libraries have installed circulation systems and both reduced their staffing requirements and improved the circulation services to their patrons.

V. COSTS AND BENEFITS

A. ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF EVALUATION

In approaching the evaluation of relative costs and benefits of alternative systems, it is desirable to be cautious and to establish the basis on which the evaluation is to take place. Not everyone has the same approach to evaluation and thus, different people given the same data, may make quite different judgments about the relative costs of alternative systems. There are at least three characteristics of evaluation which can cause problems and differences either separately or in combination with each other. The first characteristic is the type of evaluation which I call the "bad old system vs. the good new system." The second is that of full cost vs. marginal cost allocation. And the third is capital budget vs. annual budget.

In bad old system vs. good new system evaluation the current manual operating system with all of its costs and all of its blemishes is compared with a new conceptual automated system in which any awkwardnesses in operating procedure have been corrected. Under this method of evaluation, the potential for reorganizing the current system in order to yield significant improvement for a smaller investment is ignored. Instead all of the procedural improvements are represented as an integral part of the new automated system and all benefits are ascribed to the new system even though other methods of obtaining the benefits may be available. This approach has been a long time favorite of computer salesmen and others who have packaged solutions for sale. I believe this has been the most common basis for evaluation of alternative systems. Before we dismiss

this method of evaluation, let me make a point in its defense. One characteristic of automated systems is that they establish procedures which are difficult to change without explicit intent to do so. By contrast, manual systems are readily changed and, as a result, can suffer from unintentional and desirable change as the staff changes. The very fact that the bad old system exists as it does can be taken as indication that even if one were to work hard to improve it at the present moment, the subsequent change of a few key people could serve to destroy the improvements made. Where the procedures are geared to an automated system they have much greater permanence and resistance to unanticipated change. Thus, it can be quite practical and realistic to compare the current system as it is rather than as it might be.

In analyzing the cost of the system it is often the case that certain resources are utilized which are already available Examples which come to mind are space, utilities, and computation capacity in a shared computer facility. Under one method of analysis, full allocation, the costs of all of these shared facilities are allocated to the system based upon an estimate of the proportion of the capacity of the facilities which is used by the operation. Under the method of marginal cost analysis, the allocation of any costs for such shared facilities is ignored and one computes only the costs of additional resources which are required in order to operate the system. One can present arguments for either approach. Indeed, under different circumstances, a person might prefer one or the other basis for the analysis, without having a vested interest in achieving a particular outcome from the analysis. For example, if one has a great deal of alternative demand for an existing facility it is likely that one will prefer to allocate the facility costs because by doing so one is able to gauge the relative merits of competing demands for the facility based on the total cost impact in the organization. On the other hand if there is sufficient capacity on a shared facility to handle all demand and if there is no possibility of reducing that capacity or its attendant costs it is quite likely that allocating out the costs of the facility may lead to an erroneous evaluation of the impact of alternative approaches on the total cost to the organization. As a result managements often will take different approaches depending upon their judgment of the likely future demand and cost of the facility.

Finally, everyone knows that capital budgets and annual operating budgets represent different kinds of money because their rules of expenditure and justification are different. How then,

does one relate a one time capital expenditure to recurring annual expenditures? This question has fascinated accountants and economists for many years and will continue to do so for many years to come. In theory, one can convert a one time cost for the purchase of a facility into an annual cost by estimating the number of years of useful life that the facility will have, the expected risk of the investment and then incorporating the cost of money as if it were borrowed and repaid over the life of the facility. The risk is essentially concerned with unexpected obsolesence of the facility as a result of development of a new and different facility or of some sort of catastrophic failure of the system. When these factors are combined, they result in pay back periods ranging from 21/2 to 20 years. In the computer field 4 to 7 year periods are quite common with the use of interest rates in the range of 6 to 14%.

A common practice in libraries is to attempt to ignore one time costs entirely in the evaluation of new systems. Where this can not be done then at least the interest costs of money and the facility risk factor are to be ignored. This has led to investment in systems which effectively never pay back their initial investment, because even though annual costs may be reduced, the systems are obsolete before full recovery is made.

Analysis of annual costs is of course difficult as well, since casual reflection will indicate that annual costs can change dramatically within a relatively short period of time. Notice, for example, that over the last five years, a large number of the prices of goods and services in our society have more than doubled as a result of inflation. This factor should also be considered when comparing one time costs with continuing annual costs.

B. THE RESULTS TO DATE

Without question the current generation of on-line circulation control systems has improved the overall performance of circulation in the most of the libraries in which they have been installed. With few exceptions, the systems have operated with a high degree of reliability and have enabled the circulation staff to maintain an orderly flow of materials and to maintain accurate information about due dates, holds and so forth. This improved control has often resulted in marked increases in loan rates. Thus, I feel quite secure in the assertion that for most libraries the introduction of an on-line circulation control system has contributed to a net improvement in the libraries' ability to carry out its mission.

Because of the many approaches to cost analysis and the imperfect information that is available, I would not be willing to guess whether there has been a net increase or reduction in the costs required for the level of service provided. That is, on a basis of comparable procedures, with full allocation of costs, including initial capital costs, I would not be willing to assert that on-line circulation control systems represent the most cost effective approach available. Nor would I assert the opposite. Luckily, this situation does not have a strong influence because, first one can make such a determination in the analysis of specific libraries; and second, because there are many situations in which it is thought to be easier to get capital budget for equipment than it is annual budget for operating staff. In order to obtain a notion of the approximate costs of on-line circulation systems let's consider an example of an independent, vendor supplied turnkey system. This will be a minimum system with one terminal and sufficient internal storage for a collection of 60,000 volumes consisting of 30,000 different titles, and a rate of addition to the collection of 6000 volumes (3000 titles) per year. Such a system will have the following approximate initial costs:

	minimum	maximum
Internal Staff		
Project Planning &		
Control	\$5,000	\$15,000
File Preparation	9,000	18,000
Sub Total, Internal	\$14,000	\$33,000
External, Vendor System	\$100,000	\$130,000
Total Initial	\$114,000	\$163,000

New annual expenditures for file preparation will be in the range of 900-1800 and annual maintenance will be in the range of 6000-9000.

Now, if there is to be a return of the initial costs, then there must be a reduction in circulation which is greater than the increased maintenance cost, \$6000-\$9000 per year. Figure 4 shows the effect on payback of our variables—full cost allocation versus marginal cost allocation, payback period and interest rates. The figure displays the amount of annual staff cost reduction which is needed to pay back the initial system costs with reduced total annual costs. From this figure one can see, for example, that for each \$100,000 of initial cost and \$6900 of added annual costs one must have staff reductions of \$16,900 per year to repay the initial costs in 10 years with no interest charge; \$24,800, in 7 years at 6% interest; and

FIGURE 4

ANNUAL STAFF COSTS REDUCTION NEEDED FOR BREAKEVEN

		Minimum Estimate		Maximum Estimate		
			Marginal			
INITIAL (COST		\$100,000	\$114,000	\$130,000	\$163,000
ANNUAL MA	AINTENANCE		6,000	6,000	9,000	9,000
ANNUAL FI	LE PREP		900	900	1,800	1,800
Payback Period, Years	Interest Rate %	Present Value*				
never**	<i>7</i> 0		6 000	6.000	10.000	
			6,900	6,900	10,800	10,800
10	0	10	16,900	18,300	23,800	27,100
7	0	7	21,200	23,200	29,400	34,100
11	6	5.58	24,800	27,300	34,100	40,000
11	12	4.56	28,800	31,900	39,300	46,500
5	0	5	26,900	29,700	36,800	43,400
11	6	4.21	30,600	34,000	41,700	49,500
11	12	3.60	34,700	38,600	46,900	56,100

^{*} This is the present value of \$1 paid each year for the number of years indicated at the interest rate given.

** That is, annual reductions in staff costs are equal to the

annual increases in costs for the new system.

\$34,700 in 5 years at 12% interest. When one looks at alternative systems from the point of view of a banker, the requirements for performance improvement become very much larger in order to justify the investment.

Can a library expect to obtain a staff cost reduction of anything like \$20,000-\$30,000 per year from the introduction of an on-line circulation system? The answer is a definite perhaps. Let's suppose our library wishes to reduce cost by \$25,000 per year and that it has circulation of 100,000 loans per year. That means an average reduction of \$0.25 in staff costs per loan. If we assume a staff cost, including fringe benefits, of \$3.60 per hour, that represents a reduction of 4.2 minutes per loan. After installation, the on-line circulation system will require an average of about three minutes of staff time per loan. This would represent a full time equivalent staffing of 2½ people. Therefore, if the staff is presently spending more than 7.2 minutes per loan, (thus, a circulation staff of 6 fte or more) it is likely that the new system can be fully cost justified against the present system. In some instances. libraries with loan volumes in the range of 100,000 per year do have staffs of this level. In other cases, however, they are not attempting to offer as much servie, particularly in processing holds and in obtaining the return of overdues and replacing missing materials as a result, their current costs are much lower. Indeed, in some cases, their present total costs are less than the amount that would have to be saved in order to cost justify the system on a full allocation basis.

As a result of my experience I have established the following rules of thumb: If a library wishes to provide full circulation service and it has more than 250,000 loans per year from a single location, it is very likely that an on-line circulation system will be fully cost justifiable; for annual volume in the range of 100,000-250,000 loans, it is possible that on-line system is cost justified; for annual volumes less than 100,000 full cost justification is likely only under quite special circumstances.

VI. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

For the immediate future, there are several directions of current development which will continue to be pursued:

First, vendors of circulation systems have already begun to include elements of bibliographic access — e.g., search by author, title or subject — in their circulation control systems. Second, use of both bar code wands and OCR—A numeric wands will continue, with OCR—A wands slowly increasing their share of the market as their costs come down. Third, system costs will continue to come down with the result that more libraries will convert to on—line circulation control. And fourth, general enabling contracts have been set up which allow different libraries to procure comparable systems under comparable conditions. This approach is likely to be used by more libraries with the effect of moving toward a set of de facto standard contracts for circulation systems.

In the longer term, doubtless there will be circulation systems mounted on microcomputers. These systems will supplement and replace the present systems on minicomputers. These systems will be substantially less expensive and will make it desirable for many more libraries to automate their circulation.

One development which I have not yet seen, but which I hope will come to pass is the development of standards which will allow the connection of the circulation systems of different vendors in a true multi-system network. When this occurs, there will be a substantial improvement in the interlibrary lending of materials. There has been some experience with interlibrary loan between libraries using the same vendor's system. This, however, does not require the standards work that the full network will require. At present, there are no standards for the format or content of circulation records and there are no standards for the formation of transactions to represent charges, holds, file queries, etc. To establish such standards is a formidable effort, but necessary if we are to have modular, interconnecting library systems. The only alternative to this is modularity through monopoly.

VII. SUMMARY

In summary, there has been very substantial progress in the development of computer based circulation systems. Even so, these systems are at an early stage of development whose relative advantages and costs must be weighed carefully in each situation. The prospects for the future are for a continued reduction in cost and improvement of the systems

during at least the next four years. As a result, the systems will be acquired by an increasing number of libraries. And finally, this will create an attractive, but highly competitive, market place for the vendors.

INNOVATION AND ITS EFFECTS UPON LIBRARY STAFFING

A Paper to Be Given at the 1978 Army Library Institute

> 22-26 May 1978 El Paso, Texas

by
Dr. Ralph M. Shoffner
Vice President
Ringgold Corporation
Ringgold Management Systems
Box 368
Beaverton, Oregon 97005

I. INTRODUCTION

I'd like to discuss with you the effects of innovation on library staffing and particularly on the use of para-professionals. Innovation refers to any change in library operations, whether a reorganization of existing staff and equipment to provide existing or new services or the introduction of new types of staff or equipment. There has been an increasing use of para-professionals in libraries. To see this, consider the number of librarians and library attendants working in libraries in 1960 and in 1970. For the United States as a whole, there were:*

	1960	1970	Average Annual Growth %
Librarians Attendants &	75,672	123,549	5.1
Assistants	37,059	126,207	13.1

Notice that while all staffing grew during the decade, librarian staff grew more slowly than did library attendant staff. Indeed, librarians increased at the average compound annual rate of 5.1% while the rest of the staff grew at 13.1% - more than twice as fast. While these data are from seven years ago, I think it safe to assume that non-librarian staff is continuing to grow in proportion to librarian staff. It is my guess that this growth of library attendant staff signals primarily an increasing use of para-professionals - that is, people capable of performing functions which were formerly performed by professional librarians.

It seems to me that this increasing use of para-professionals has resulted from a number of forces. First, there has been a centralization of those functions which require specialized training or knowledge, but which are not used in substantial amounts in each library. Book selection for branch libraries is an example of this. Second, there has been a separation and standardization of certain functions in order to make them more readily executable, e.g. the separation of original and "copy" cataloging. Third, during the 60's there was a rapid growth of libraries and a concommitant demand for librarians which could not be filled immediately. Therefore, it's my guess that many tasks were taken over by non-professionals without restructuring of any sort. Fourth, and finally, there has been continuing pressure to make hiring and promotion

^{*}Source: U.S. Dept. of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Bulletin #1852, 1975 LIBRARY MANPOWER: A STUDY OF DEMAND AND SUPPLY, pp. 90-91.

policies meet equal opportunity requirements. This has resulted in tighter definition of the functions to be performed in a particular job and the skills required to perform those functions.

While I do not expect the growth of numbers of librarians to continue at the rate of the last decade, the other forces will provide continuing pressures to make increased use of para-professionals. From my point of view this increased use is most desirable if we carry it out actively. That is, we should anticipate the pressures and identify ways in which we can make changes that reduce training requirements while still retaining the quality of performance. We should then make those changes and thus, keep the pressures from building up to the point that they are driving us.

Some years ago I was reviewing the basic ideas of mathematics and I came across something that impressed me greatly. It's in AN INTRO-DUCTION TO MATHEMATICS, and I would like to read it to you.

"It is a profoundly erroneous truism, repeated by all copy-books and by eminent people when they are making speeches, that we should cultivate the habit of thinking of what we are doing. The precise opposite is the case. Civilization advances by extending the number of important operations which we can perform without thinking about them. Operations of thought are like cavalry charges in battle---they are strictly limited in number, they require fresh horses, and must only be made at decisive moments."

That was written by Alfred North Whitehead in 1911. I believe that his statement was, and is, correct. Extending this, I submit to you that it is a proper exercise of our thought to attempt to organize our libraries so as to minimize the amount of thought which is required to perform our continuing operations. This will lead to innovations which reduce skills required while retaining, or improving the quality of library service.

II. SKILLS AND PROCEDURES

Before we discuss the influences of innovation, we should consider the distinction between a skill and a procedure. A skill is familiar knowledge of a field as shown by dexterity of execution. A procedure is a sequence of actions which may require different skills. Most of the skills that we use daily in libraries are quite common. They are skills such as reading, writing, alphabetic sorting and arranging, adding, multiplying, subtracting, touching, turning and lifting things. We combine these various elemental skills in procedures in order to obtain the results that we want, such as charging books, shelving books, or typing orders. I suspect that the most important skill for successful work in libraries is to be able to sort and arrange alphabetically and numerically. One is constantly shelving, retrieving, filing, withdrawing and searching. All depend on getting the elements in the proper sequence.

To carry out a procedure, one must know, or be told, the steps that are required and have the skills necessary to perform them. The time requirements during the execution of a procedure sometimes will cause us to call that procedure a skill. For example, a person who can read text and press keys can type a letter, but a skilled typist can produce results with a dexterity and speed that simply cannot be achieved by someone who is unpracticed.

Many activities which are considered skilled, do not depend upon physical dexterity for their timely performance. Instead, they depend upon the memory of the person of what procedures are to be carried out. For example, a pilot relying on his memory while checking out a Piper Cub in preparation for takeoff might be considered more skilled than one using an exhaustively documented procedure to check out a Boeing 747. This memory skill is important and desirable only if the dexterity of execution is important to the overall result. If not, we progress by recording the procedure so that it can be performed competently without having to think and remember what to do.

Much of what we do in libraries depends upon remembering procedural sequences rather than having them committed to documentation. To decide whether this use of memory can be considered skilled, one should compare the overall results achieved with those obtained using a documented procedure. Under this test, charging out materials to patrons is a more important skill than is checking in journals as they are received. The former is more frequent than the latter and the former is performed in a situation where a patron is waiting; the latter is not.

III. EFFECTS OF INNOVATION

Let us now proceed to the effects of innovation. Figure 1 gives

examples of innovation effects upon staffing of libraries. This figure is intended to be indicative of the kinds of changes that have been taking place, rather than all inclusive. There are two quite different kinds of innovations which have been taking place in the library community. One of them is the centralization of certain kinds of library functions, such as that represented by the formation of library systems or the expansion of the Library of Congress' cataloging coverage. This innovation changes the location in which the work is performed, but not the procedures or skills. The other type of innovation is that of increasing utilization of automation, either in the libraries or in organizations supplying services to libraries. Usually, automation changes the procedures and the skills which are used.

It is interesting to note that to this point, automation has not resulted in a simplification of the librarian's functions, although it has resulted in simplification of the functions of the paraprofessional. Indeed, the availability of on-line systems, such as those of Lockheed and SDC, has increased the complexity (and power) of index searching. It is not surprising that para-professional work is the first to be simplified. This pattern has been common in other fields as well. After all, before one can automate a function, one must understand it and define it in explicit terms. By contrast, the activities which we consider to be professional are not well defined. Rather, they are the application of a body of tools and techniques which have been learned previously. While the techniques may be specific, the rules of application are not. We hope that this application is successful, but, by and large, there are not explicit standards by which the quality of performance is judged.

Returning to the figure, note that innovation has contributed to the reduction of skills required of the para-professional library In ordering, for example, the task of receiving books and checking them against those on order is very much simplified in a situation where the ISBN can be found both in the book and in the This matching greatly reduces the problem of deciding whether the item ordered and item delivered are the same. As another example, in maintaining the card catalog the potential for misfiling catalog cards as they arrive is huge. In this situation, the paraprofessional must know the filing rules and be able to interpret and apply those rules to the particular case at hand. By contrast, a microform catalog requires only that the para-professional be capable of filing a numbered set of items and of accounting for the receipt of supplements to the catalog. It's worth noting that even the untrained user has a better chance of finding the desired material in a book form or microform catalog. Because it presents him with many entries on the page rather than one at a time, it is

FIGURE 1

INNOVATION EFFECTS ON FUNCTIONS AND STAFFING

Function	Innovation Example	Staff Level*	Nature of Effect
ACQUISITIONS			
Selection	Formation of library systems	L	Increased copy quantities, reduced amount of selection per copy.
Ordering	On-line computer control	Р	Reduced errors, transcription skill not as important to maintain accuracy.
CATALOGING			
Source Infor- mation	LC expansion of coverage catalog vendors and OCLC network	L	Reduction in <u>amount</u> of work to be done.
Catalog "file"	Book or microform catalogs	Р	Filing (and mis-filing) nearly eliminated.
CIRCULATION	Computer control	Р	Reduced mis-filing; reduced effort in recalls, overdues.
INTERLIBRARY LOAN	Remote catalogs	Р	Reduced error in identify- ing item and in directing request.
REFERENCE			
Index searching	Lockheed & SDC on-line services	L	Increased training requirement: manual and on-line searching are different.
EXIT SECURITY	Automated Gate Guards	G	Virtual elimination of exit surveillance.

* L: Librarian P: Para-professional G: General staff

much easier for him to see the sequence in which the items are presented.

In addition to centralization and automation, there is another type of innovation by which improvement can be obtained. That is through reorganization, simplification and standardization of operations. This results in the development of procedures which are the same in different operations and which have less stringent training and memory requirements. Figure 2 presents some areas of possible improvement through this type of innovation.

AREAS OF POTENTIAL INNOVATION THROUGH STANDARDIZATION

FIGURE 2

FUNCTION	POSSIBLE INNOVATION
LIBRARY OPEN	Procedure check list and procedure definitions for standard library operations to allow procedures to be carried out by someone who does "remember" them.
ACQUISITION Selection	Maintain information on the demand of current holdings for classes of material.
CIRCULATION Physical Control	Identify materials by their locations in order to simplify reshelving and shelf checking.
REFERENCE	Set up two-tier system for reference questions - para-professional search and answer; first, get procedure instructions on the others, forward the failures for professional search and return their answers to the requestor.

Basically, this represents a clarification of the procedures which are to be followed in order to achieve any given result. For example, it should be possible for anyone who can read and write and count to be able to open a branch library, keep it open through the day and close it in the proper condition. The next day, it should not be possible to tell whether the person who had taken care of the operations was professional or para-professional. This does not mean that all the functions of the library could have been carried out in that period. Thus, no original cataloging, no complicated information searches, no book selection may have been carried out. But, even so, these are but a fraction of the activities normally carried out. Most of the rest could be carried out by a literate conscientious person, if clear documentation of the procedures were available.

Selection appears to me to present a more difficult problem. However, by approaching it through maintaining information on the demand for, and the current holdings of, the various classes of material in the library and keeping track of budget expenditure year by year, we should be able to produce more explicit guidelines to the selection process. While these guidelines are not likely to be so explicit that they could be executed by a computer, they should at least resolve the questions of how repeat service to the same patrons is going to be valued in relation to one-time service to other patrons.

A great deal of the physical work of the library is involved in moving the materials around; particularly, in sorting and reshelving them when they have returned from loan or have been left around the In many situations, this work can be simplified by defining the circumstances under which pickups are to be made, how the sorting is to be carried out, and how the reshelving is to be performed. For instance, in comparison to handling standard call numbers, it is considerably easier to sort and reshelve if the materials are identified by the designation of rows and shelves of the stacks or display tables where they are kept. By the same token, it is possible to code the material so that by looking at a shelf on can identify quickly any material which does not belong on that shelf. Yes, this identification creates additional work in physical processing when the materials are first received. But the simplicity achieved in maintaining the physical collection will repay the effort if the collection is one which is used. And maintaining better order, it will make use of the collection more possible.

In order to obtain greater participation of para-professionals in the reference function, one might set up a two-tier system for handling reference questions in the following way. The para-professional deals directly with the patron and answers those questions which he can; those which he can not answer are referred to a professional resource person who gives the para-professional instruction on how to search for the answer to the question. Then, only in the situation in which the question still could not be answered would it be forwarded to be handled by the professional. And even then, the para-professional will receive the results and provide them to

the requestor so that the method by which the question was finally answered can also be communicated.

These then, are some areas of possible innovation which do not require automation or centralization. This list of possible changes is by no means complete. Further, the ideas presented are most general in nature. They would require detailed work before their application and value could be properly assessed. Yet they do give a basis for asserting that in the future we will be able to make increased use of para-professionals. Given that we can expect both automation and centralization to continue, our rate of increasing use of para-professionals might be at least half the rate of the decade of the 1960's.

IV. ACTION TOWARD INNOVATION

It is now time to consider how you might proceed with innovation in your libraries. By the same token however, such new services are being developed and made available to your libraries. And so, my first recommendation is that you consider carefully any innovations, whether involving automation, centralization, or standardization, which have been implemented elsewhere. The effort necessary to develop operational automated systems can be substantial. Similarly, there is usually significant effort involved in reorganizing to achieve centralization. Since the point of such change is to improve service and cost, it is desirable to take advantage of any innovations available from elsewhere that can be seen to be an improvement.

If in addition, you undertake independent innovation, I suggest that you concentrate first on standardization. Through the documentation necessary to standardization you will be able to identify those situations in which automation or centralization seem to be not only feasible but desirable as well. This does not mean that I am recommending that the standardization effort be made by each library independently. On the contrary, the standardization effort should be carried out across the libraries of the community. By this approach, the similarities and differences of current practice can be observed directly. Even after the work is completed, it is not necessary that every job is performed the same way in every library. But it is desirable that we be clear on how each job is performed and with what vari-Then we can separate those activities in which the procedure is arbitrary from those which serve definitive purposes in the individual Therefore, to begin, collect all available procedure descriptions which define the way the various functions are carried

out. Similarly, collect all job descriptions defining the work performed and the skills necessary to perform it. Unless this sort of analysis has been done recently, it is reasonable to expect that some restructuring could be done and some reduction of skills required for competent performance achieved.

If by analysis and reorganization we find that we can improve services and operations at the rate of 3% per year we will be doing as well as the society in general. If we include all types of innovation, including automation and centralization, we should be able to achieve a higher rate than this. The computer field, for example, has been changing at more than 15% per year and the forecast is for a continuation for at least the next five years. If we approach innovation with a view to simplifying our procedures, we should be able to make substantial progress.

In closing, I would like to repeat part of Alfred North Whitehead's comment and then suggest an extension. "Civilization advances by extending the number of important operations which we can perform without thinking about them." It seems to me that it is by directing our thinking toward those things that we might do differently, that we gain new understanding whereby we may extend the number of important operations which we can perform without thinking about them. Thus, we must think deeply about how to operate our libraries with less thought. To the extent that we are successful, our civilization will be better for it.

REGISTRANTS - 1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE

* - Indicates registrants who were unable to attend the Institute.

ABRAHAMSON, Patricia F.
US Army White Sands Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-PT-AL
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

ALTNER, Patricia M.
US Army Armament Research and Development Cmd.
Bldg. 59
Dover, NJ 07801

ANACLERIO, Concetta R.
Chemical Systems Laboratory, ARRADCOM
ATTN: DRDAR-CLJ-L
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010

ANGUIANO, Dolores M.
Director, TRASANA
ATTN: Library
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

AROLA, David J. Library System Bldg. T-44 Fort McPherson, GA 30330

BARRETT, Frances S. Recreation Services Library Branch Fort Polk, LA 71459

BARRY, Louise C. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Cen & Ft Knox Fort Knox, KY 40121

BONILLA, Maria G. US Army Garrison Fort Buchanan, PR 00934

BOUCHER, Vivian E.
US Army White Sands Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-PT-AL
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

BOYCE, Richard D. Post Library, Box 2700 Fort Clayton, CZ APO New York 09827 BROOKMAN, Dorothy A.
US Army Research & Technology Labs.
ATTN: DAVDL-POM
Ames Research Center
Moffett Field, CA 94035

BUELNA, Joseph L. Post Library, Box 317 Fort Clayton, CZ APO New York 09827

BURGESS, Edwin B. Combined Arms Research Library Bell Hall Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027

BURNETT, Betty W. Department of Energy Los Alamos Scientific Lab. University of California Los Alamos, NM 87544

BURNS, Dean A. US Army Library Bldg. 244 Fort Myer, VA 22211

BUSEY, Madge J. Van Noy Library, Bldg. 1024 US Army Engr Cen & Ft Belvoir Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

BYERS, Tina M. Combined Arms Research Library Bell Hall Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027

BYRN, James H.
USA Field Artillery School
Morris Swett Technical Library, Snow Hall
Fort Sill, OK 73503

CABALLERO, Cesar University Library University of Texas at E1 Paso E1 Paso, TX 79902

CARNEY, Patrick J.
Base Library System
Marine Corps Base
Camp Pendleton, CA 92055

CASEY, Philip M.
US Army Armament Research and Development Cmd.
ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L
Rock Island, IL 61299

CATHEY, Eva M.
USAMMCS Technical Library
Bldg. 3323
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

CHANEY, A. Virginia 172d Inf. Bde. (Alaska) Post Library, Bldg. 636 Fort Richardson, AK 99505

CLARK, Robert L. Medical Technical Library Box 375 Madigan Army Medical Center Tacoma, WA 98431

COLLINS, Eugenia A.
Recreation Services Library
Bldg. 464
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

COONEY, Jane F.
DRDMI-TBO
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

CROSS, Dorothy A.
XVIII Airborne Corps
ATTN: AFZA-PA-RS
(Ch Lib)
Fort Bragg, NC 28307

CURTSINGER, Eula B.
Recreation Services Library
Bldg. 464
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

DAKAN, Norman E. AFMPC/DPMSOC Randolph AFB, TX . 78148

DAVIS, Ida E. Post Library, Box 158 Fort Clayton, CZ APO New York 09827

DAVIS, Lora-Frances
Medical Library
Brooke Army Medical Center
Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

DOOLEY, M. Louise Medical Library, Bldg. 7777 William Beaumont Army Medical Center El Paso, TX 79920

DORSEY, James C. HQ TRADOC (ATAG-MS-L) HQ TRADOC Technical Library Fort Monroe, VA 23651

DUHNSEN, Lowell R. New Mexico State University Library Box 3475 Las Cruces, NM 88003

DUMAS, Anna B.
Commander
Ballistic Missile Defense System Cmd.
ATTN: BDMSC-AOLIB
P. O. Box 1500
Huntsville, AL 35807

DYKE, James P. New Mexico State University Library Box 3475 Las Cruces, NM 88003

EAKIN, Joyce L. US Army Military History Institute Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013

EARNEST, Kathryn L. Recreation Services Post Library Carlisle Barracks Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013

ECKEL, Virginia E. AFTT-LDB, Bldg. 641, Area B Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433

EVANS, Dorothy W. Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Library Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, D.C. 20012

EVANS, Robert F. Medical Library, Bldg. 7777 William Beaumont Army Medical Center El Paso, TX 79920

FARRELL, Patricia C.
National Defense University Library
Fort Leslie J. McNair
4th & P Sts., SW
Washington, D.C. 20319

FLEISCHER, Eugene El Paso Community College Library 6601 Dyer El Paso, TX 79904

FORST, Rosalie O.
US Army Research & Development Cmd.
Ballistic Research Lab.
ATTN: DRDAR-TSB-S (Bldg. 305)
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

FREDRICKSON, Joan M. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Quartermaster Cen & Ft Lee Fort Lee, VA 23801

FREY, Agnes L. US Army War College Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013

FRY, Betty K.
US Army Engineer Div., S. Atlantic
SADAS-L
510 Title Bldg.
30 Pryor, SE
Atlanta, GA 30303

GALLANT, Thomas A.
HQ TRADOC (ATAG-MS-L)
HQ TRADOC Technical Library
Fort Monroe, VA 23651

GALLOWAY, Delfina C.
US Army Air Defense School Library
Bldg. 2, Wing E
Fort Bliss, TX 79916

GAUNT, Linda L. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Air Defense Cen & Ft Bliss Fort Bliss, TX 79916

GIERING, Edward W., Jr. US Army Aviation School Library Bldg. 5906 & 5907 Fort Rucker, AL 36362

*GRINER, Marina
USA Institute of Administration (ATSG-TEI-L)
Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216

*HAMMERICK, Lilias R.
USA Sergeants Major Academy
Learning Resources Center
Bldg. 11203
Fort Bliss, TX 79918

HAMPTON, Marcia W.
USAISD
ATTN: ATSIE-DT-L
Fort Devens, MA 01433

HANKS, Diana University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

HARDIN, Margaret F. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Fld Arty Cen & Ft Sill Fort Sill, OK 73503

HARVAN, Pauline C. Main Post Library Bldg. 1528 Fort Carson, CO 80913

HAWTHORNE, Judy A. Director, TRASANA ATTN: Library White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

HAYES, Katherine A. US Army Engineer District, St. Louis District Library Room 944 210 N. 12th Street St. Louis, MO 63101

HEBERT, R. Vivian Library The Judge Advocate General's School United States Army Charlottesville, VA 22901

HERRICK, Lynn D. US Army OETC Library P. O. Box 40 Fort Ord, CA 93941

HILLYER, Georgiana AFWL/SUL Technical Library Kirtland AFB, NM 87117

HINKLE, Elizabeth T. Medical Library Raymond W. Bliss Army Hospital Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613

HINSHAW, Glennis El Paso Public Library 501 N. Oregon El Paso, TX 79901 HOLLAND, Gloria J.
USA Materiel Development & Readiness Command
ATTN: DRXAM-L
5001 Eisenhower Ave.
Alexandria, VA 22333

HUGGINS, Una D. HQDA (DAAG-MSL) Forrestal Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20314

JANSSEN, Ruth S. USAMRIID Library, Bldg. 1425 Fort Detrick Frederick MD 21701

JARDIN, Patricia Main Post Library Bldg. 1528 Fort Carson, CO 80913

JOHNSON, M. Malinda Recreation Services Division Main Post Library, Bldg. P-411 Fort Stewart, GA 31313

KAUFFMAN, Sharon J. Post Library/NCAD New Cumberland, PA 17070

KELL, Annette B. Recreation Services Library Library Services Center Bldg. 1850 Fort Hood, TX 76544

KELLER, Joan R. LAMC Branch Library, Room 104 Letterman Army Medical Center San Francisco, CA 94129

KNOLD, Rosemary M.
Post Library
HQ, 7th Signal Command
Fort Ritchie, MD 21719

KOEPPLIN, Charles El Paso Community College Library 6601 Dyer El Paso, TX 79904

KUDIESY, Norma M.
US Army Air Defense School Library
Bldg. 2, Wing E
Fort Bliss, TX 79916

LIDDIARD, Leola D. Post Library, Bldg. 5318 Dugway Proving Ground Dugway, UT 84022

LINDSEY, Marie J. Grandstaff Library Bldg. 2109 Fort Lewis, WA 98433

LONDON, Frank M.
DARCOM Intern Training Center
ATTN: DRXMC-ITG-AL
Red River Army Depot
Texarkana, TX 75501

LUSK, Marie N. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Air Defense Cen & Ft Bliss Fort Bliss, TX 79916

MCCRONE, Lenna Tooele Army Depot ATTN: SDSTE-QAA Tooele, UT 84074

MCKEE, Kathryn E. 172d Inf. Bde. (Alaska) Post Library, Bldg. 636 Fort Richardson, AK 99505

MACLEAN, D. Louise Library System Bldg. T-44 Fort McPherson, GA 30330

MCMAHAN, Elizabeth E. Corpus Christi Army Depot Reference & Research Br. STOP 6 Corpus Christi, TX 78419

MCQUADE, Judy University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

MALLERY, Eula I. Recreation Services Post Library US Army Admin Cen & Ft Ben Harrison Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216

MANN, Brenda J. US Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 MARLOWE, Rosemary C. HQ TRADOC (ATAG-MS-L) HQ TRADOC Technical Library Fort Monroe, VA 23651

MARTINEZ, Doris R. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Air Defense Cen & Ft Bliss Fort Bliss, TX 79916

MATHIS, Margaret El Paso Public Library 501 N. Oregon El Paso, TX 79901

*MATTE, Michelle P.
Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center
Technical Library
Watertown, MA 02172

MEREDITH, Ruth S.
US Army Armament Research and Development Cmd.
Bldg. 59
Dover, NJ 07801

MINTER, Lyle W. Post Library Vint Hill Farms Station Warrenton, VA 22186

MORENO, Esperanza University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

*MORRIS, Mildred Mc.
Recreation Services Library Program
US Army Signal Cen & Ft Gordon
Fort Gordon, GA 30905

*MORRISON, Carol J.
Combined Arms Research Library
Bell Hall
Fort Leavenworth, KS 66027

MOSLEY, Doris O. US Army War College Carlisle Barracks, PA 17013

MUDD, Isabelle Recreation Services Post Library Fort Wainwright, AK 98731 MULLANE, Ruth A. The Army Library (ANRAL) The Pentagon, Room 1A518 Washington, D.C. 20310

*MURPHY, Margaret M.
Army Materials & Mechanics Research Center
Technical Library
Watertown, MA 02172

MURRAY, Marijean USA Sergeants Major Academy Learning Resources Center Bldg. 11203 Fort Bliss, TX 79918

NEWMAN, Fletcher University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

NOLAN, Carolyn I. USACDEC Technical Library, Box 22 Bldg. 2925, 13th Street Fort Ord, CA 93941

NORTON, Carol K. Recreation Services Division Main Post Library Bldg. P-411 Fort Stewart, GA 31313

NYCE, Louise HQ US Army Forces Command ATTN: AFPR-PS Fort McPherson, GA 30330

OGDEN, Dale T. 6960th ABS/SSL General Library (FL 7046) San Antonio, TX 78243

O'LAUGHLIN, Mary Morale Support Activity Bldg. 52 Fort Riley, KS 66442

OLSEN, Don Recreation Services Division HQ, Fort Sam Houston Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234 OLSTEAD, Patricia B.
Technical Library
US Army Natick Research & Development Cmd.
ATTN: DRXNM-TRL
Natick, MA 01760

OMDAHL, Ingjerd 0. USA Materiel Development & Readiness Command ATTN: DRXAM-L 5001 Eisenhower Ave. Alexandria, VA 22333

PAZ, Alfred C. Bldg. 11240 Biggs Field Branch Library Fort Bliss, TX 79916

PEPPER, Janice C. USA Institute of Administration (ATSG-TEI-L) Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216

PHILLIPS, Roselyn S.
Tooele Army Depot
ATTN: SDSTE-QAC, Bldg. 594
Tooele, UT 84074

PIERSALL, Glenna J. DPCA, Recreation Services Division Library Branch 101st ABN DIV (AASLT) & Ft Campbell Fort Campbell, KY 42223

*PORTMANN, Billie K.
Post Library
Fort Drum
Watertown, NY 13601

RAUE, Phil University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

REEVES, Patricia A. Post Library Bldg. 1, Bradley Loop Fort Sheridan, IL 60037

REYNOLDS, Harvey Chamberlin Library Bldg. 4275 Fort Ord, CA 93941

*REYNOLDS, Ruth H. HQ TCATA Fort Hood, TX 76544 RICHARDSON, Iris W. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Tng Cen & Ft Jackson Fort Jackson, SC 29207

RICHARDSON, Shirley University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

RICKS, N. Bonnie Recreation Services Post Library Bldg. 663 APO Seattle 98733

ROBINSON, Catherine M. Recreation Services Library Bldg. 4418 Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

RODERICK, Patricia El Paso Public Library 501 N. Oregon El Paso, TX 79901

ROGERSON, Mary F.
Recreation Services Library
Library Services Center
Bldg. 1850
Fort Hood, TX 76544

ROSENBERG, John E.
Department of the Army
Harry Diamond Laboratories
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783

*RUCKER, Newton W.
Medical Library
Bldg. 1-D, Room C206
Walter Reed Army Medical Center
Washington, D.C. 20012

SALOMON, Erica O. US Army Dugway Proving Ground Technical Library Dugway, UT 84022

SAUNDERS, Laurel B. US Army White Sands Missile Range ATTN: STEWS-PT-AL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

SHANHOLTZ, Brenda W. HQ, Fort Huachuca ATTN: Technical Reference Division Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613 SITES, Katherine P. Recreation Services Post Library Fort Monroe, VA 23651

SOMMERVOLD, Judith E.
US Army Logistics Center Library
Bldg. 10500
Fort Lee, VA 23801

STEVENS, Jean University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

STOLLEY, JoAn I. HQ TRADOC ATTN: ATAG-MS-TRALINET Fort Monroe, VA 23651

STRICKLAND, Nellie B. HQDA (DAAG-MSL) Forrestal Bldg. Washington, D.C. 20314

STRONG, Benard E. USAIMA Marquat Memorial Library Room 140, Kennedy Hall Fort Bragg, NC 28307

SUTTON, Amelia S. US Army White Sands Missile Range ATTN: STEWS-PT-AL White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

*TALBOTT, Daniel F.
Defense Systems Management College
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

TAPLEY, Dianne S. US Army Infantry School Library Infantry Hall, Bldg. 4 Fort Benning, GA 31905

TAYLOR, Juanita W.
Recreation Services Division
ATTN: AFZM-RS
Presidio of San Francisco, CA 94129

TIBAYAN, Arlene S. Recreation Services Division, DPCA US Army Spt Cmd Hawaii APO San Francisco, 96558 TOMPKINS, Dorothy C.
USA Intel Cen & Sch Library
Bldg. 84005 & 84006
Fort Huachuca, AZ 85613

TOWNSEND, Ruth R. HQ RSAK Library Division APO San Francisco 96301

TRISDALE, Raymon Logistics Library Bunker Hall Fort Lee, VA 23801

VARIEUR, Normand L. US Army Armament Research and Development Cmd. STINFO Div. Dover, NJ $\,$ 07801

VROOMAN, George K.
Technical Library Division
Benet Weapons Laboratory
SARVW-RT-L
Watervliet Arsenal
Watervliet, NY 12189

WALTER, Gary D.
Commandant
Defense Language Institute
ATTN: ATFL-TD-LL
Presidio of Monterey, CA 93940

WATLINGTON, Joyce C.
US Army Human Engineering Laboratory
ATTN: Library
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

WATTS, Carol B. University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

WEISS, Egon A. US Military Academy Library West Point, NY 10996

WEISS, Mary J. Recreation Services Library Program US Army Tng Cen, Eng & Ft Leonard Wood Fort Leonard Wood, MO 65473

WESTON, Janice C.
US Army Ordnance Center & School
Library/Learning Center, Bldg. 3071
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

WHIPPLE, Marcia J. Library Technical Services Bldg. AT 2747 Fort Bragg, NC 28307

WILLINGHAM, Jackie University Library University of Texas at El Paso El Paso, TX 79902

WILSON, Clyde W. Medical Library, Bldg. 7777 William Beaumont Army Medical Center El Paso, TX 79920

WILSON, Minnie D. Nye Library 1640 Randolph Rd. Fort Sill, OK 73503 WOODYARD, Nancy El Paso Public Library 501 N. Oregon El Paso, TX 79901

WOOTEN, Mary H.
DPCA, Recreation Services Division
Library Branch
101st ABN DIV (AASLT) & Ft Campbell
Fort Campbell, KY 42223

WURGLER, Dorothy J.
US Army White Sands Missile Range
ATTN: STEWS-PT-AL
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88002

*YAMACHIKA, Raymond Y. HQ USAREUR & 7th Army ATTN: AEUPE-AMD-AL APO New York 09403

ZEMAN, Eleanore M.
CMD, DRSMI
Post Library
Bldg. 3323
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

CONSULTANTS AND RESOURCE PERSONS - 1978 ARMY LIBRARY INSTITUTE

ALTNER, Patricia M.
US Army Armament Research and Development Cmd.
Bldg. 59
Dover, NJ 07801

BOSS, Richard W. University Librarian Princeton University Library Princeton, NJ 08540

DONNELLY, Anne R. Civilian Career Management Field Agency Operations Branch, Team I (PECM-O-I) 1000 Independence Avd., SW Washington, D.C. 20314

GALVIN, Thomas J. School of Library & Information Science University of Pittsburgh 135 N. Bellefield Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15260

GERARD, Major Paul T.
Plans and Operations Directorate
The Adjutant General Center
ATTN: DAAG-PL
Washington, D.C. 20314

GOLDSTEIN, Charles M.
Chief, Computer Technology Branch
Lister Hill National Center
for Biomedical Communication
National Library of Medicine
8600 Rockville Pike
Bethesda, MD 20014

HOLT, David E. Director Austin Public Library P.O. Box 2287 Austin, TX 78768

KLINEFELTER, Paul M.
Deputy Director
Directorate of Technical Services
Defense Documentation Center
Cameron Station
Alexandria, VA 22314

LERCH, Neil E. Civilian Career Management Field Agency Operations Branch, Team I (PECM-O-I) 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, D.C. 20314 MOORE, Waldo H.
Assistant Register of
Copyrights for Registration
Copyright Office
Library of Congress
Washington, D.C. 20559

PALMOUR, Vernon E. Senior Vice President King Research, Incorporated 6000 Executive Boulevard, Rm 307 Rockville, MD 20852

RAPPAPORT, Yvonne K. University of Virginia Falls Church Center 400 N. Washington Street Falls Church, VA 22046

ROUFA, Sheldon P.
President
DataPhase Systems, Incorporated
4528 Belleview
Kansas City, MO 64111

SHOFFNER, Ralph M. Vice President Ringgold Corporation Ringgold Management Systems Box 368 Beaverton, OR 97005

SPAULDING, Carl M. Council on Library Resources Suite 620 1 Dupont Circle, NW Washington, D.C. 20036

STEELE, Larry 11 Hardwicke Place Rockville, MD 20850

VARIEUR, Normand L.
US Army Armament Research and Development Cmd.
Bldg. 59
Dover, NJ 07801

WEISS, John P. Vice President Marketing Gaylord Brothers, Incorporated P.O. Box 4901 Syracuse, NY 13221